arXiv:1604.03500v2 [math.RT] 31 May 2016

HIGHER NAKAYAMA ALGEBRAS I: CONSTRUCTION

GUSTAVO JASSO AND JULIAN KÜLSHAMMER

With an appendix by Julian Külshammer and Chrysostomos Psaroudakis

Abstract. We introduce higher analogues of Nakayama algebras from the viewpoint of Iyama's higher Auslander–Reiten theory. More precisely, for each Nakayama algebra A and each positive integer d, we construct a finite dimensional algebra $A^{(d)}$ and a d-cluster-tilting $A^{(d)}$-module $M^{(d)}$ whose endomorphism algebra is a higher analogue of the Auslander algebra of A.

Contents

Introduction 2
Conventions 4
1. Preliminaries 5
1.1. Nakayama algebras 5
1.2. Dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties 10
1.3. $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategories 12
1.4. Higher Auslander–Reiten theory 14
1.5. $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite algebras 17
2. Higher Nakayama algebras 18
2.1. Higher Nakayama algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$ 18
2.2. Higher Nakayama algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ 22
2.3. The algebras $A_\infty^{(d)}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)}$ 24
3. Proofs of the main theorems 26
3.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1.9 26
3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2.6 29
3.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3.4 31
3.4. Proof of Theorem 2.3.5 33
4. Obstructions to an alternative definition of higher Nakayama algebras 35
4.1. Simple modules and the Ext^d-quiver of the higher Nakayama algebras 35
4.2. Global dimension of the higher Nakayama algebras 37
4.3. Dominant dimension of the higher Nakayama algebras 38
Appendix A. Relative $(d-1)$-homological embeddings 39
References 40
Index 42

Date: June 1, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16G70. Secondary: 16G20.

Key words and phrases. Nakayama algebras; Auslander–Reiten theory; cluster-tilting; homological embedding.

The authors would like to thank Erik Darpö and Osamu Iyama for sharing their preprint on selfinjective d-representation-finite algebras [DI16], the results of which are used in this article. These thanks are extended to Martin Herschend who pointed out to us the relevance of the root lattices of type $\mathbb{A}$ in the description of the Gabriel quiver of the higher Auslander algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$.

Introduction

In this article we introduce a class of finite dimensional algebras which are higher analogues of Nakayama algebras from the viewpoint of Iyama's higher Auslander–Reiten theory [Iya07b].

In classical Auslander–Reiten theory, algebras of finite representation type are of particular importance since in this case one has a complete combinatorial description of the module category in terms of the Auslander–Reiten quiver. Fundamental classes of such algebras include hereditary algebras, which are associated to Dynkin diagrams, and selfinjective algebras of finite representation type (a class that includes group algebras of finite representation type), which have been classified by Riedtmann [Rie80]. In [IO11], Iyama and Oppermann introduced higher analogues of hereditary algebras of finite representation type. On the other hand, Darpö and Iyama recently gave, in [DI16], a method to construct selfinjective algebras which behave like higher analogues of representation finite selfinjective algebras using the covering theory of Bongartz and Gabriel [BG82], much in the spirit of the methods used by Riedtmann in the classification of the selfinjective algebras of finite representation type.

In this article we describe higher analogues of Nakayama algebras, which form a class of representation-finite algebras whose representation theory is completely understood. A finite dimensional algebra A is called a *Nakayama algebra* if the indecomposable projective and the indecomposable injective A-modules are uniserial. These algebras can also be characterised by the property that the τ-orbit of each simple module consists entirely of simple modules where τ denotes the Auslander–Reiten translation.

Theorem (see Theorems 2.1.9 and 2.2.6)**.** *For each (classical) Nakayama algebra $A = A^{(1)}$ there exists a family of algebras $\left\{ A^{(d)} \;\middle|\; d \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ together with generator-cogenerators $M^{(d)}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}$ satisfying:*

- *(i) The algebra $A^{(d+1)}$ is defined recursively as a quotient of the endomorphism algebra $\mathsf{End}_{A^{(d)}}(M^{(d)})$ by an explicitly described idempotent ideal.*
- *(ii) The subcategory $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}) := \mathsf{add}\, M^{(d)} \subseteq \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}$ admits a combinatorial description which is analogous to that of the module category of $A^{(1)}$. In particular, the τ_d-orbits of the simple $A^{(d)}$-modules in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)})$ consist entirely of simple modules, where $\tau_d := \tau\Omega^{d-1}$ denotes the higher Auslander–Reiten translation.*

In the special case where A is a hereditary Nakayama algebra, $A^{(d)}$ is a particular example of a higher analogue of a hereditary algebra, the higher Auslander algebra of type $\mathbb{A}$ in the sense of [Iya11, Sec. 6.2]. In particular, the epimorphism $\mathsf{End}_{A^{(d)}}(M^{(d)}) \twoheadrightarrow A^{(d+1)}$ is in fact an isomorphism in this case (and only in this case). If A is instead a selfinjective Nakayama algebra, then $A^{(d)}$ is also selfinjective, and is constructed using [DI16]. In general, the (connected) higher Nakayama algebras are certain quotients of higher Auslander algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$ or of selfinjective higher Nakayama algebras. If $d \geq 2$, they are obtained as quotients by combinatorially described idempotent ideals. This quotienting process is akin to introducing additional relations in the case of classical Nakayama algebras.

We now describe Iyama's higher Auslander–Reiten theory in greater detail. For simplicity we work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$. Let A be a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-algebra and d a positive integer. We denote the category of finite dimensional right A-modules by $\mathsf{mod}\, A$. A functorially finite (full) subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ is

called a *d-cluster-tilting subcategory* if there are equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M} &= \left\{ X \in \mathsf{mod}\, A \;\middle|\; \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^i_A(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ Y \in \mathsf{mod}\, A \;\middle|\; \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^i_A(\mathcal{M}, Y) = 0 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a 1-cluster-tilting subcategory, then $\mathcal{M} = \mathsf{mod}\, A$. Also, note that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$, then all projective and all injective A-modules belong to $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ and denote the projectively (resp. injectively) stable category of $\mathcal{M}$ by $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$). Then, there exists an equivalence of additive categories

$$\tau_d \colon \underline{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Moreover, for each indecomposable non-projective A-module $N \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists a distinguished exact sequence of A-modules of the from

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_d N \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0;$$

whose terms all lie in $\mathcal{M}$. This sequence is called a d-almost split sequence, see Definition 1.4.1 for a precise definition. A dual statement holds for indecomposable non-injective A-modules $L \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus, d-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ possess a "higher dimensional" Auslander–Reiten theory. In this sense, the d-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ should be thought of as a higher analogue of the module category $\mathsf{mod}\, A$.

Following [IO11], we say that A is *weakly d-representation-finite* if $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ contains a d-cluster-tilting subcategory with an additive generator. In [Iya07a], Iyama proved that this class of algebras is in one-to-one correspondence with the class of d-Auslander algebras, that is the algebras Γ with $\mathsf{gl.dim}\,\Gamma \leq d+1 \leq \mathsf{dom.dim}\,\Gamma$. This is a higher version of classical Auslander correspondence for representation finite algebras. A d-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ is called *$d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting* if $\mathsf{Ext}^j(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \neq 0$ implies $j \in d\mathbb{Z}$. Such subcategories have better homological properties. In particular, there is an analogue of the long exact sequence in homology for such subcategories. We call an algebra having such a subcategory $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite. A d-cluster-tilting subcategory is automatically $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting if A has global dimension d. Such algebras are called *d-representation-finite d-hereditary* (note that the adjective "d-hereditary" is often omitted in the literature). These have been studied extensively, see for example [HI11a, HI11b, OT12, IO13, Miz14, Jas15, Jør15].

Theorem. *Let d be a positive integer and $A = A^{(1)}$ be a Nakayama algebra. Let $A^{(d)}$ and $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)})$ be as above. Then, $A^{(d)}$ is $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite with $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}) \subseteq \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}$. It is d-representation-finite d-hereditary if and only if $A^{(1)}$ is hereditary. It is selfinjective if and only if $A^{(1)}$ is selfinjective.*

A by-product of our viewpoint is the construction of higher analogues of the mesh category of type $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_\infty$ and the tubes from classical Auslander–Reiten theory, see Theorem 2.3.4. These arise as inductively constructed d-cluster-tilting subcategories of categories of nilpotent representations over certain (in general infinite dimensional non-unital) algebras. Moreover, in Theorem 2.3.5 we observe that the bounded derived category of the category of nilpotent representations over the higher analogues of the mesh category of $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_\infty$ (resp. the tubes) admits a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory. Finally, we remark that our constructions yield new examples of d-abelian and $(d+2)$-angulated categories in the sense of [Jas16] and [GKO13] respectively.

In a forthcoming article [JK16] we establish the (almost) Koszul property in the sense of [BBK02] and the Calabi–Yau property in the sense of [Gin06] of certain higher Nakayama algebras. By a result of Dugas [Dug12] the selfinjective higher Nakayama algebras are periodic when viewed as modules over their enveloping algebras (see also [EH08]); in [JK16] we compute their precise period.

The article is structured as follows. In Section 1 we recall the definitions and results which are needed in subsequent sections. These include a brief overview of the theory of classical Nakayama algebras as well as a combinatorial description of their (basic) Auslander algebras. We also remind the reader of the language of dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties and of basic results in higher Auslander–Reiten theory. In Lemma 1.4.6 we prove an "idempotent reduction" lemma for d-cluster-tilting subcategories which is key in our construction of the higher Nakayama algebras. In Section 2 we introduce the higher Nakayama algebras by means of quivers and relations and state our main theorems without proof. Section 3 is devoted to proving the main theorems. We conclude the article by discussing, in Section 4, the obstructions to defining higher Nakayama algebras in terms of filtrations of the indecomposable projective and the indecomposable injective modules as well as other similarities and differences between higher and classical Nakayama algebras.

Conventions

Throughout, we fix a positive integer d and an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$. We denote the usual duality of finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-vector spaces by $D := \mathsf{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(,-\Bbbk)$. Unless noted otherwise, by algebra we mean finite dimensional unital associative $\Bbbk$-algebra. By module we always mean right module. If A is an algebra, then we denote by $\mathsf{mod}\, A$the category of finite dimensional right A-modules the category of finite dimensional right A-modules. All the categories we consider are additive and are assumed to be essentially small, $\Bbbk$-linear, and to have finite dimensional Hom spaces. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category and $X \in \mathcal{A}$, then we denote the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ which contains X and is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands by $\mathsf{add}\, X$. Representations of quivers are defined in such a way that the simple projective modules correspond to sources in the quivers. To distinguish the 0 module from the index 0 we denote the latter by $\emptyset$. For convenience, we fix a notation for certain quivers. We denote the Hasse quiver of the opposite of the usual order on the integers by $\mathbb{A}_\infty^\infty$, that is

$$\mathbb{A}_\infty^\infty\colon \qquad \cdots \longrightarrow -n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow -1 \longrightarrow \emptyset \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Similarly, we define the quiver

$$\mathbb{A}_\infty\colon \qquad \emptyset \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n \longrightarrow \cdots$$

For a positive integer n we define the quivers

$$\mathbb{A}_n\colon \qquad \emptyset \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n-1$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}\colon$$



We denote the quiver with a unique vertex $\emptyset$ and a unique arrow $\emptyset \to \emptyset$ by $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_0$.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **Nakayama algebras.** A finite dimensional algebra A is a *Nakayama algebra* if the indecomposable projective and the indecomposable injective A-modules are uniserial, that is they have a unique composition series. There are many equivalent characterisations of Nakayama algebras. We record some of them for later use.

Theorem 1.1.1 (see [ARS95, IV.2] and [LM04, Thm. 1.7])**.** *Let A be a connected finite dimensional algebra. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) A is a Nakayama algebra.
(ii) Every indecomposable A-module is uniserial.
(iii) The Gabriel quiver of A is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{A}_n$ or $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}$, where n is the number of isomorphism classes of simple A-modules.
(iv) For every simple A-module S and every integer i, the A-module $\tau^i S$ is either simple or 0.
(v) All simple A-modules lie in the same τ-orbit.
(vi) There exists a τ-orbit consisting only of simple A-modules.

We recall the parametrisation of the Morita equivalence classes of connected Nakayama algebras in terms of Kupisch series [Kup66].

Definition 1.1.2. We define the following.

(i) Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a tuple of positive integers. We say that $\underline{\ell}$ is a *(connected, normalised) finite Kupisch series* if it satisfies:
  (a) If $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $\ell_i \geq 2$.
  (b) If $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $\ell_i - \ell_{i-1} \leq 1$.
  (c) If $\ell_\emptyset \neq 1$, then $\ell_\emptyset - \ell_{n-1} \leq 1$.

(ii) An infinite sequence of positive integers $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z})$ is called an *infinite Kupisch series* if it satisfies:
  (a) For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is an inequality $\ell_i \geq 2$.
  (b) For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is an inequality $\ell_i - \ell_{i-1} \leq 1$.
  We say that $\underline{\ell}$ is *bounded* if $\mathsf{max}\{\, \ell_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \,\}$ exists.

There is a connection between Nakayama algebras and Kupisch series.

Theorem 1.1.3 (see [ARS95, Proposition IV.2.13])**.** *The following statements hold.*

(i) Let A be a connected Nakayama algebra. For each vertex i in the Gabriel quiver Q of A let P_i be the projective cover of the simple A-module S_i and $\ell\ell\, P_i$ its Loewy length. Then, the tuple $(\ell\ell\, P_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ is a finite Kupisch series.
(ii) Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a finite Kupisch series. Then, there exists a connected Nakayama algebra A with Gabriel quiver Q being $\mathbb{A}_n$ or $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}$ such that $\underline{\ell} = (\ell\ell\, P_i)_{i \in Q_0}$. Moreover, this property characterises A up to Morita equivalence.

Remark 1.1.4. In Theorem 1.1.3 one can replace the Loewy length of the indecomposable projective modules by their length, as these two notions coincide in the case of Nakayama algebras. However, the Loewy length is the notion which plays an analogous role in the case of higher Nakayama algebras.

Notation 1.1.5. We introduce some notation for later use.

(i) Let n be positive integer and $\ell \geq 2$. We define the finite dimensional algebras $A_n^{(1)} := \Bbbk\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\ell}^{(1)} := \Bbbk\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}/\,\mathsf{rad}^\ell(\Bbbk\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1})$. Note that $A_n^{(1)}$ is hereditary while $\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\ell}^{(1)}$ is selfinjective.

(ii) More generally, let $\underline{\ell}$ be a Kupisch series. We denote the basic connected Nakayama algebra with Kupisch series $\underline{\ell}$ by $A^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ if $\ell_\emptyset = 1$ and $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ otherwise. Note that, if $\underline{\ell} = (1, 2, \dots, n)$, then $A^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}} = A^{(1)}_n$ while $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{n-1,\ell} = \widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ for $\underline{\ell} = (\ell, \dots, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

(iii) Let A be a basic connected Nakayama algebra. So as to fix a numbering of the simple A-modules, we assume that A is a quotient of either $A^{(1)}_n$ or $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{n-1,\ell}$ for some $\ell \geq 2$. In the former case we say that A is a *Nakayama algebra of type* $\mathbb{A}_n$ while in the latter case we say that A is a *Nakayama algebra of type* $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}$.

(iv) We define the infinite dimensional algebras $A^{(1)}_\infty := \Bbbk\mathbb{A}^\infty_\infty$ and $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{n-1,\infty} := \Bbbk\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}$; note that $A^{(1)}_\infty$ is non-unital for the quiver $\mathbb{A}^\infty_\infty$ has infinitely many vertices.

Remark 1.1.6. Let A be a basic connected Nakayama algebra of type $\mathbb{A}_n$ (resp. type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}$). Then, every A-module is a nilpotent representation of $A^{(1)}_n$ (resp. $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{n-1,\infty}$).

Example 1.1.7. Let $\underline{\ell} = (1, 2, 2, 3)$. Then, $A^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is given by the path algebra of the quiver

$$\emptyset \xrightarrow{x_\emptyset} 1 \xrightarrow{x_1} 2 \xrightarrow{x_2} 3$$

bound by the relation $x_1 x_\emptyset = 0$, as

$$P_\emptyset = \emptyset, \quad P_1 = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \emptyset \end{smallmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}, \quad P_3 = \begin{smallmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}$$

in this case.

Example 1.1.8. Let $\underline{\ell} = (2, 2, 3)$. Then, $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is given by the path algebra of the quiver



bound by the relations $x_2 x_1 = 0$, $x_\emptyset x_2$, as

$$P_\emptyset = \begin{smallmatrix} \emptyset \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix}, \quad P_1 = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \emptyset \end{smallmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ \emptyset \end{smallmatrix}$$

in this case.

1.1.1. *Nakayama algebras of type* $\mathbb{A}$. It is well known that Nakayama algebras are of finite representation type, see for example [ARS95, Theorem VI.2.1]. We introduce the following combinatorial description of the Auslander algebras of the connected Nakayama algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$, which we generalise to higher dimensions in later sections. While this description seems to be non-standard it is used in [Rin99] in a slightly different version.

Let

$$V := \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \;\middle|\; v_0 + v_1 + v_2 = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\Phi := \{ e_i - e_j \mid 0 \leq i \neq j \leq 2 \}$$

be the *root system of type* $\mathbb{A}_2$ *in* V. Define

$$\alpha_1 := e_1 - e_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 := e_2 - e_1$$

and recall that $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ forms a system of simple roots in Φ. We also distinguish one additional root

$$\alpha_\emptyset := e_0 - e_2.$$

Let

$$L^{(2)} := \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2$$

be the *root lattice of type* $\mathbb{A}_2$. For simplicity, we often write

$$\mu_2\mu_1 := \mu_1\alpha_1 + \mu_2\alpha_2 \in L^{(2)}.$$

For a positive integer n, the *n-restricted root lattice of type* $\mathbb{A}_2$ is defined as

$$L_n^{(2)} := \left\{ \mu_1\alpha_1 + \mu_2\alpha_2 \in L^{(2)} \;\middle|\; 0 \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_1 < n \right\}.$$

We define $Q_n^{(2)}$ to be the quiver with vertex set $L_n^{(2)}$ and arrows

$$a_i = a_i(\alpha) \colon \alpha \to \alpha + \alpha_i$$

whenever $\alpha, \alpha + \alpha_i \in L_n^{(2)}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. By convention, $a_i(\alpha) = 0$ in $\Bbbk Q_n^{(2)}$ if $a_i(\alpha)$ is not an arrow of $Q_n^{(2)}$. We also consider the admissible ideal I of $\Bbbk Q_n^{(2)}$ generated by the elements

$$a_2(\alpha + \alpha_1)a_1(\alpha) - a_1(\alpha + \alpha_2)a_2(\alpha)$$

where α ranges over $L_n^{(2)}$. Note that these are the usual mesh relations on $Q_n^{(2)}$ and as such some of these are in fact zero relations instead of commutativity relations because of our convention that $a_i(\alpha) = 0$ if $a_i(\alpha)$ is not an arrow of $Q_n^{(2)}$. We define the algebra

$$M_n^{(1)} := \Bbbk Q_n^{(2)}/I.$$

Example 1.1.9. The algebra $M_4^{(1)}$ is given by the following quiver with relations:



Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ldots, \ell_n)$ be a Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset = 1$. We define

$$H_{\underline{\ell}}^{(2)} := \left\{ \mu_2\mu_1 \in L_n^{(2)} \;\middle|\; \exists 1 \leq j \leq n : \ell_{j-1} \geq \ell_j,\ \mu_2 \leq j - \ell_j,\ \mu_1 \geq j \right\}$$

and

$$L_{\underline{\ell}}^{(2)} := Q_n^{(2)} \setminus H_{\underline{\ell}}^{(2)}.$$

Then, we define $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$ as the subalgebra of $M_n^{(1)}$ supported on the full subquiver of $Q_n^{(2)}$ with vertex set $L_{\underline{\ell}}^{(2)}$.

Example 1.1.10. Let $\underline{\ell} = (1, 2, 2, 3)$. Then, the quiver with relations of $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$ is the following:



Theorem 1.1.11 ([ASS06, Theorem V.4.1])**.** *Let A be a connected finite dimensional algebra. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) A is a Nakayama algebra of type $\mathbb{A}$.

(ii) A is of finite representation type and its (basic) Auslander algebra is isomorphic to $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$ for some Kupisch series $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ with $\ell_\emptyset = 1$.

1.1.2. *Nakayama algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$.* We describe the Auslander algebras of the connected Nakayama algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$. Let $\ell \geq 2$. We define

$$L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)} := \left\{ \mu_2\mu_1 \in L^{(2)} \;\middle|\; \mu_2 \leq \mu_1,\, 0 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 < \ell \right\}.$$

Let $Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$ be the (infinite) quiver with vertex set $L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$ and arrows

$$a_i = a_i(\alpha) \colon \alpha \to \alpha + \alpha_i$$

whenever $\alpha, \alpha + \alpha_i \in L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. By convention, $a_i(\alpha) = 0$ in $\Bbbk Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$ if $a_i(\alpha)$ is not an arrow of $Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$. Let I' be the admissible ideal of $\Bbbk Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$ generated by the elements

$$a_2(\alpha + \alpha_1)a_1(\alpha) - a_1(\alpha + \alpha_2)a_2(\alpha)$$

where $\alpha = \mu_2\mu_1$ is such that $\mu_1 - \mu_2 < \ell - 1$. We define the (infinite dimensional, non-unital) algebra

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(1)} := \Bbbk Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)} / I'.$$

Note also that $Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$ has a translation defined by

$$\tau_1(\alpha) := \alpha + \alpha_\emptyset$$

for $\alpha \in L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$, and that τ_1 preserves I'.

Example 1.1.12. The algebra $M_{\mathbb{Z}3}^{(1)}$ is given by the following quiver with relations:



Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \dots, \ell_n)$ be a finite Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset \neq 1$ and let

$$\ell := \mathsf{max}\{\, \ell_\emptyset, \dots, \ell_n \,\}.$$

Define $\ell_{j+in} := \ell_j$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (note that this defines a bounded Kupisch series) and

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(2)} := \{\, \mu_2\mu_1 \mid \exists j \in \mathbb{Z} : \ell_{j-1} \geq \ell_j,\ \mu_2 \leq j - \ell_j,\ \mu_1 \geq j \,\},$$
$$L_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(2)} := L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)} \setminus H_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(2)}.$$

Also, let $M^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$ be the subalgebra of $M^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ supported on the full subquiver of $Q^{(2)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ with vertex set $L^{(2)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$. Note that τ_1^n preserves $M^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$. Hence, we may define the (finite dimensional unital) algebra

$$M^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}} := M^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}/\tau_1^n$$

as the orbit algebra of $M^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$ with respect to the automorphism induced by τ_1^n.

Example 1.1.13. Let $\underline{\ell} = (2, 2, 3)$. Then, the quiver with relations of $M^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$ is



Taking the orbit algebra of $M^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$ with respect to the automorphism τ_1^3 yields $M^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}}$, whose quiver with relations is given by



where the two vertices labelled $\emptyset\emptyset$ have to be identified.

Theorem 1.1.14 ([ASS06, Theorem V.4.1])**.** *Let A be a connected finite dimensional algebra. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) A is a Nakayama algebra of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$.
(ii) A is of finite representation type and its Auslander algebra is isomorphic to $M^{(1)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ for some Kupisch series $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \dots, \ell_n)$ with $\ell_\emptyset \neq 1$.

1.1.3. *The algebras $A^{(1)}_\infty$ and $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{n-1,\infty}$.* We describe the Auslander–Reiten quivers, viewed as infinite dimensional non-unital algebras, of the categories of nilpotent representations over the algebras $A^{(1)}_\infty$ and $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}_{n-1,\infty}$. Let

$$L^{(2)}_\infty := \left\{ \mu_2\mu_1 \in L^{(2)} \;\middle|\; \mu_2 \leq \mu_1,\, 0 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \right\}$$

and $Q^{(2)}_\infty$ be the (infinite) quiver with vertex set $L^{(2)}_\infty$ and arrows

$$a_i = a_i(\alpha)\colon \alpha \to \alpha + \alpha_i$$

whenever $\alpha, \alpha + \alpha_i \in L^{(2)}_\infty$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. This quiver is commonly denoted by $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_\infty$. By convention, $a_i(\alpha) = 0$ in $\Bbbk Q^{(2)}_\infty$ if $a_i(\alpha)$ is not an arrow of $Q^{(2)}_\infty$. Let I be the admissible ideal of $\Bbbk Q^{(2)}_\infty$ generated by the elements

$$a_2(\alpha + \alpha_1)a_1(\alpha) - a_1(\alpha + \alpha_2)a_2(\alpha)$$

where α ranges over $L^{(2)}_\infty$. We define the (infinite dimensional, non-unital) algebra

$$A^{(2)}_\infty := \Bbbk Q^{(2)}_\infty / I$$

as well as the *mesh category of type* $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_\infty$

$$\mathcal{M}^{(1)}_\infty := \mathsf{proj}\, A^{(2)}_\infty.$$

Example 1.1.15. The quiver with relations of $A^{(2)}_\infty$ is given by:



Note that $Q_\infty^{(2)}$ has a translation defined by

$$\tau_1(\alpha) := \alpha + \alpha_\emptyset$$

for $\alpha \in L_\infty^{(2)}$, and that τ_1 preserves I. Hence, for a positive integer n we may define the (infinite dimensional, non-unital) algebra

$$\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(2)} := A_\infty^{(2)}/\tau_1^n$$

as well as the *rank n tube*

$$\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)} := \mathsf{proj}\, \widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(2)}.$$

Example 1.1.16. The quiver with relations of $\widetilde{A}_{2,\infty}^{(2)}$ is given by



where the two vertical dashed lines should be identified.

The following theorem is well known, see for example [RvdB02, Thm III.1.1].

Theorem 1.1.17. *The following statements hold.*

- *(i) There is an equivalence of additive categories between $\mathcal{M}_\infty^{(1)}$ and the abelian category of nilpotent representations of $A_\infty^{(1)} = \Bbbk\mathbb{A}_\infty^\infty$.*
- *(ii) Let n be a positive integer. Then, there is an equivalence of additive categories between $\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)}$ and the abelian category of nilpotent representations of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(1)} = \Bbbk\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}$.*

1.2. **Dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties.** We recall the concept of a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety introduced by Auslander and Reiten in [AR74]. A dualising $\Bbbk$-variety can be thought of as a generalisation of the category of finite dimensional projective modules over a finite dimensional algebra, but with possibly infinitely many indecomposable objects up to isomorphism. The reader not familiar with this concept can ignore this

subsection on a first reading and think of dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties as infinite quivers with relations satisfying a "finiteness condition".

We begin by recalling basic notions on functor categories. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an essentially small $\Bbbk$-linear Hom-finite Krull–Schmidt additive category. If $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$, then we denote the $\Bbbk$-vector space of morphisms $a \to a'$ by $\mathcal{A}(a, a')$. A right $\mathcal{A}$-module is a contravariant $\Bbbk$-linear additive functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{mod}\,\Bbbk$. We denote the abelian category of right $\mathcal{A}$-modules by $\mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A}$. If $M, N \in \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A}$, then we denote the $\Bbbk$-vector space of natural transformations $M \to N$ by $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$. The Yoneda embedding

$$a \mapsto P_a := \mathcal{A}(-, a)$$

allows us to identify $\mathcal{A}$ with the full subcategory $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathsf{proj}\,\mathcal{A}$ of *finitely generated projective $\mathcal{A}$-modules*. With some abuse of notation, we write $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}} := \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ for the category of finitely generated projective right $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules, that is finitely generated projective left $\mathcal{A}$-modules.

An $\mathcal{A}$-module M is *finitely generated* if there exist $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and an epimorphism $P_a \twoheadrightarrow M$. We say that M is *finitely presented* if there exists a morphism $f\colon a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and an exact sequence

$$P_a \xrightarrow{P_f} P_{a'} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A}$. We denote the full subcategory of $\mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ of finitely presented $\mathcal{A}$-modules by $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$. Note that $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ is closed under cokernels and extensions in $\mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A}$, and that it is closed under kernels if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ has weak kernels, see [Aus66, Prop. 2.1]. Therefore, $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ is an extension-closed abelian subcategory of $\mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ has weak kernels.

Following [AR74], we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a *dualising $\Bbbk$-variety* if the contravariant functor $D\colon \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ given by $M \mapsto D \circ M$ induces a duality $D\colon \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$.

Remark 1.2.1. It readily follows that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety, then $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$ are abelian categories, see for example [AR74, Thm. 2.4].

Note that if A is a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-algebra, then $\mathsf{proj}\,A$ is a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety [AR74, Prop. 2.5] and $\mathsf{mod}(\mathsf{proj}\,A)$ is equivalent to $\mathsf{mod}\,A$. Together with this observation, the main motivation for considering dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties is the following result, a consequence of which is that we can investigate finite dimensional algebras and their module categories using similar representation-theoretic methods.

Proposition 1.2.2 ([AR74, Prop. 2.6])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety. Then, $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ is a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety.*

Recall that a category $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *locally bounded* if for every $a \in \mathsf{ind}\,\mathcal{A}$,

$$\sum_{a' \in \mathsf{ind}\,\mathcal{A}} (\mathsf{dim}_{\Bbbk}\,\mathcal{A}(a, a') + \mathsf{dim}_{\Bbbk}\,\mathcal{A}(a', a)) < \infty.$$

where $\mathsf{ind}\,\mathcal{A}$ denotes a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{A}$. It is well known that these categories give rise to dualising varieties. We give a proof for convenience of the reader.

Proposition 1.2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a locally bounded category. Then, $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety.*

Proof. Given that $\mathcal{A}$ is locally bounded, all finitely generated projective $\mathcal{A}$-modules have finite length. It follows that $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ coincides with the category of finite length $\mathcal{A}$-modules. The same statement holds for $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$. Clearly, the $\Bbbk$-dual of an $\mathcal{A}$-module of finite length is an $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$-module of finite length. The claim follows. □

Remark 1.2.4. All the dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties which we consider in this article are locally bounded categories.

1.3. **$d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategories.** We begin this subsection by recalling the classical notion of functorially finite subcategory.

Definition 1.3.1 ([AS80])**.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category and $\mathcal{X}$ a subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$.

(i) We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *contravariantly finite in* $\mathcal{A}$ if for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exist $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and a morphism $f\colon X \to A$ such that for all $Y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $g\colon Y \to A$ there exists $h\colon Y \to X$ such that $g = fh$. In other words, the sequence of functors
$$\mathcal{A}(-, X)|_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\cdot f} \mathcal{A}(-, A)|_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow 0$$
is exact. Such morphism f is called a *right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation of A*.

(ii) We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *covariantly finite in* $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$. The corresponding morphism in $\mathcal{A}$ is called a *left $\mathcal{X}$-approximation of A*.

(iii) We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *functorially finite in* $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{X}$ is both contravariantly and covariantly finite in $\mathcal{A}$.

The notion of a d-cluster-tilting subcategory plays a central role in higher Auslander–Reiten theory. In this article we are also concerned with the stronger notion of $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory; a class of subcategories which exhibit better homological properties.

Definition 1.3.2 ([Iya07b, Def. 2.2], [IY08, Sec. 3]; see also [Jas16, Def. 3.14] and [IJ15, Def.-Prop. 2.15])**.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian or triangulated category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$.

(i) We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is *d-rigid* if for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the condition
$$\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$$
is satisfied.

(ii) We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is *d-cluster-tilting* if it satisfies:
   (a) $\mathcal{M}$ is functorially finite in $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian, we require moreover that
      - left $\mathcal{M}$-approximations are monomorphisms and
      - right $\mathcal{M}$-approximations are epimorphisms.
   (b) There are equalities
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M} &= \left\{ X \in \mathcal{A} \;\middle|\; \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ Y \in \mathcal{A} \;\middle|\; \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, Y) = 0 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is *$d\mathbb{Z}$-rigid* if $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \neq 0$ implies that $i \in d\mathbb{Z}$.

(iv) We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is *$d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting* if it is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-rigid d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$.

(v) We say that an object $M \in \mathcal{A}$ is *d-rigid*, *d-cluster-tilting*, ... if $\mathsf{add}\, M$ has the corresponding property as a subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$.

Notation 1.3.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian or triangulated category and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. We define the full subcategories
$$\mathcal{M}^{\perp_d} := \left\{ X \in \mathcal{A} \;\middle|\; \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0 \right\}.$$
The subcategory ${}^{\perp_d}\mathcal{M}$ is defined dually.

Remark 1.3.4. The notion of a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory is implicit in [Iya11, IO11] and in the seminal work of Geiß, Keller and Oppermann on $(d+2)$-angulated categories [GKO13].

Remark 1.3.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category.

(i) If $\mathsf{gl.dim}\,\mathcal{A} \leq d$, then all d-rigid subcategories of $\mathcal{A}$ are $d\mathbb{Z}$-rigid.
(ii) If $\mathcal{A} = \mathsf{mod}\,A$ and $M \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathsf{add}\,M$ is always functorially finite, see for example [AS80, Prop. 4.2].
(iii) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projectives, then right $\mathcal{M}$-approximations are always epimorphisms as all projectives are in $\mathcal{M}$ and every object in $\mathcal{A}$ admits an epimorphism from a projective object. Dually, if $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives, then left $\mathcal{M}$-approximations are always monomorphisms.

Recall that an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *Frobenius* if it has enough projectives, enough injectives, and projective and injective objects in $\mathcal{A}$ coincide. We need the following well known observation.

Proposition 1.3.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Frobenius abelian category (or, more generally, an idempotent complete Frobenius exact category). Then, the association $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between d-cluster-tilting subcategories (resp. $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategories) of $\mathcal{A}$ and d-cluster-tilting subcategories (resp. $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategories) of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof. We only prove the statement in the case of Frobenius abelian categories; we leave the obvious generalisation to idempotent complete Frobenius exact categories to the reader. Given that the extension groups in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ coincide, it suffices to check that the association $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between functorially finite subcategories of $\mathcal{A}$ containing all the projective objects and functorially finite subcategories of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a functorially finite subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$, then it is clear that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is functorially finite in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ (approximations of $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ are induced by those of $\mathcal{M}$). Conversely, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ containing all the projective objects, and suppose that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is functorially finite in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$. We only show that $\mathcal{M}$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathcal{A}$, the property of being covariantly finite in $\mathcal{A}$ being dual. Let $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f\colon M \to X$ a morphism representing a right $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$-approximation of X in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$. Also, let $g\colon P \to X$ be an epimorphism from a projective object $P \in \mathcal{A}$. We claim that the morphism

$$[f\ g]\colon M \oplus P \longrightarrow X$$

is a right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation of X in $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, let

$$[h_1\ h_2]\colon M' \oplus P' \longrightarrow X$$

be a morphism with P' a projective in $\mathcal{A}$ and $M' \in \mathcal{M}$ without projective summands. Firstly, given that P' is projective, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & P' \\ & \overset{\exists i}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_2} \\ P & \xrightarrow{\ g\ } & X \end{array}$$

On the other hand, since f represents a right $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$-approximation of X in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$, there exists a morphism $j\colon M' \to M$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M' & \xrightarrow{h_1 - fj} & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow_{\ell} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ P'' & \dashrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

with P'' projective, and in which the dotted arrow exists due to the projectivity of P''. Thus, we obtain a commutative diagram



Finally, it is readily verified that the diagram



commutes. The claim follows. □

1.4. **Higher Auslander–Reiten theory.** We recall the basic concepts and results on higher Auslander–Reiten theory for dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties.

Definition 1.4.1 ([Iya07b, Def. 3.1])**.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Krull–Schmidt additive category. A sequence δ in $\mathcal{M}$ of the form

$$\delta\colon 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

is *d-almost split* if it satisfies:

(i) All morphisms in δ belong to the Jacobson radical of $\mathcal{M}$.
(ii) For each $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the sequences of abelian groups

$$0 \to \mathcal{A}(X, L) \to \mathcal{A}(X, M^1) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{A}(X, M^d) \to \mathcal{A}(X, N)$$

and

$$0 \to \mathcal{A}(N, X) \to \mathcal{A}(M^d, X) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{A}(M^1, X) \to \mathcal{A}(L, X)$$

are exact.
(iii) Every non-split monomorphism $L \to X$ in $\mathcal{M}$ factors through $L \to M^1$.
(iv) Every non-split epimorphism $X \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ factors through $M^d \to N$.

Remark 1.4.2. Note that 1-almost split sequences are nothing but classical almost split sequences.

Definition 1.4.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Krull–Schmidt additive category. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ *has d-almost split sequences* if for every non-projective indecomposable object $N \in \mathcal{M}$ (resp. non-injective indecomposable object $L \in \mathcal{M}$) there exists a d-almost split sequence $0 \to L \to M^1 \to \cdots \to M^d \to N \to 0$.

We now recall the construction of the higher Auslander–Reiten translation. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety. Yoneda's lemma implies that the contravariant functor $(-)^*\colon \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$M^* := \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, -)|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

induces a duality $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ which satisfies $P_a^* = \mathcal{A}(-, a)^* \cong \mathcal{A}(a, -)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that there is an induced contravariant functor $(-)^*\colon \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$.

The *Auslander–Bridger transpose* is defined as follows. Let $M \in \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ and

$$P_a \xrightarrow{P_f} P_{a'} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

a minimal projective presentation of M, which exists by [AR74, Prop. 3.4]. We define $\mathsf{Tr}\, M := \mathsf{coker}(P_f^*)$. This induces a well defined functor $\mathsf{Tr}\colon \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \to \overline{\mathsf{mod}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$ between the projectively stable category of $\mathcal{A}$-modules and the injectively stable category of $\mathcal{A}$-modules, which is moreover a duality. Finally, for $d \geq 1$, we define

$$\tau_d := D\,\mathsf{Tr}\,\Omega^{d-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_d^- := \mathsf{Tr}\,\Omega^{d-1} D$$

where Ω denotes Heller's syzygy functor.

The subsequent result is one of the main motivations for investigating d-cluster-tilting subcategories of dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties.

Theorem 1.4.4 ([Iya07a, Thms. 2.5.1 and 2.5.3])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ a d-cluster-tilting subcategory. Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) There are mutually inverse equivalences

$$\underline{\mathcal{M}} \underset{\tau_d^-}{\overset{\tau_d}{\rightleftarrows}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}.$$

(ii) The category $\mathcal{M}$ has d-almost split sequences.

Moreover, if $0 \to L \to M^1 \to \cdots \to M^d \to N \to 0$ is a d-almost split sequence, then there are isomorphisms $L \cong \tau_d N$ and $N \cong \tau_d^- L$.

The subsequent concept seems to be of independent interest. It is a relative version of Psaroudakis's notion of a $(d-1)$-homological embedding [Psa14, Def. 3.6].

Definition 1.4.5. Let $i\colon \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a fully faithful exact functor between abelian categories and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

(i) We say that i is a *contravariantly $\mathcal{X}$-relative $(d-1)$-homological embedding* if for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ the induced morphism

$$\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{B}}(-, B)|_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(-, B)|_{\mathcal{X}}$$

is an isomorphism.

(ii) We say that i is a *covariantly $\mathcal{X}$-relative $(d-1)$-homological embedding* if for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ the induced morphism

$$\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{B}}(B, -)|_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(B, -)|_{\mathcal{X}}$$

is an isomorphism.

(iii) We say that i is an *$\mathcal{X}$-relative $(d-1)$-homological embedding* if it is a covariantly $\mathcal{X}$-relative $(d-1)$-homological embedding and a contravariantly $\mathcal{X}$-relative $(d-1)$-homological embedding.

We conclude this section with an "idempotent reduction" lemma for d-cluster-tilting subcategories, which is key in our construction of higher Nakayama algebras.

Lemma 1.4.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a locally bounded category and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ a d-cluster-tilting subcategory. Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a subcategory and let $\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}]$ be the ideal quotient of $\mathcal{A}$ by the ideal of morphisms which factor through $\mathcal{X}$. Suppose that:*

- *$\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}]$ is a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety,*
- *for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the $\mathcal{A}$-modules $(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])(-, a)$ and $D(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])(a, -)$ belong to $\mathcal{M}$, and*
- *there is an inclusion*

$$\mathsf{add}\,\{\, M \in \mathsf{ind}\,\mathcal{M} \mid M(\mathcal{X}) \neq 0 \,\} \subseteq (\mathsf{add}\,\mathcal{A} \cap \mathsf{add}\,D(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}})).$$

Set $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{M} \cap \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$. Then, the following statements hold.

(i) *The canonical inclusion* $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}]) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ *is an* $\mathcal{N}$*-relative* $(d-1)$*-homological embedding.*
(ii) *The category* $\mathcal{N}$ *is a* d*-cluster-tilting subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$.

Proof. (i) We only prove that the canonical inclusion is a covariantly $\mathcal{N}$-relative $(d-1)$-homological embedding. The proof of the other direction is dual. To prove this, we apply [Jas16, Prop. 3.18]. Let $B \in \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$ be arbitrary. Consider a projective resolution of an arbitrary M in $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$:

$$\cdots \to P^1 \to P^0 \to M \to 0$$

By assumption, $P^i \in \mathcal{M}$ for all i. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$, there is the following vanishing of extension groups $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, N) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and all $N \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence, by [Jas16, Prop. 3.18] there is an isomorphism between $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$ and the cohomology of the induced complex

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P^0, N) \to \cdots \to \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P^d, N)$$

at $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P^i, N)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$. But this cohomology is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}]}(M, N)$. Thus, the canonical inclusion is a covariantly $\mathcal{N}$-relative $(d-1)$-homological embedding.

(ii) First we show that $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$ is contravariantly finite. The proof of covariant finiteness is dual. Let $X \in \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$ and let $\varepsilon\colon P \twoheadrightarrow X$ be a projective cover. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$, there is a left $\mathcal{M}$-approximation $f\colon M \twoheadrightarrow X$. Decompose $M = M' \oplus M''$ where $M'' \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathsf{add}\,M' \cap \mathcal{N} = \{0\}$. Hence, $f = [f'\ f'']$ where $f'\colon M' \to X$ and $f''\colon M'' \to X$. We claim that $[\varepsilon\ f'']\colon P \oplus M'' \to X$ is a left $\mathcal{N}$-approximation of X. For this, let $g\colon N \to X$ be an arbitrary morphism in $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$ with $N \in \mathcal{N}$. Since $f\colon M \to X$ is a left $\mathcal{M}$-approximation and $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, there is a morphism

$$\begin{bmatrix} g' \\ g'' \end{bmatrix} : N \to M' \oplus M''$$

such that $g = f'g' + f''g''$. Furthermore, since M' is projective by assumption and ε is surjective, there exists $\alpha\colon M' \to P$ such that $\varepsilon\alpha = f'$. Hence,

$$g = f'g' + f''g'' = \varepsilon\alpha g' + f''g'' = [\varepsilon\ f''] \begin{bmatrix} \alpha g' \\ g'' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, g factors through $[\varepsilon\ f'']$ and hence $\mathcal{N}$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$.

To show that $\mathcal{N}$ is d-cluster-tilting in $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$, by [Iya08, Prop. 2.2], it suffices to show that $\mathcal{N}$ contains the finitely generated projective $\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}]$-modules as well as that $\mathcal{N} = {}^{\perp_d}\mathcal{N}$. The first part is true by assumption. For the second part, the inclusion "$\subseteq$" is true as $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. For the opposite inclusion let $X \in {}^{\perp_d}\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$. We claim that $X \in {}^{\perp_d}\mathcal{M}$. By definition $X \in {}^{\perp_d}\mathcal{N}$ means $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}]}(X, \mathcal{N}) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$. By (i) this implies $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{N}) = 0$. Now, by the second assumption this also implies $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ since the only indecomposable modules in $\mathcal{M}$ not being in $\mathcal{N}$ are projective-injective. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is d-cluster-tilting this implies $X \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $X \in \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}])$, it follows that $X \in \mathcal{N}$. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 1.4.7. In the setting of Lemma 1.4.6(i), it can be shown that the canonical inclusion $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}]) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ is moreover a $(d-1)$-homological embedding, see Appendix A.

For the convenience of the reader, we state Lemma 1.4.6 for finite dimensional algebras.

Lemma 1.4.8. *Let* A *be a finite dimensional algebra and* $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathsf{mod}\,A$ *a* d*-cluster-tilting subcategory. Let* $e \in A$ *be an idempotent satisfying:*

(i) The A-modules $A/\langle e\rangle$ and $D(A/\langle e\rangle)$ belong to $\mathcal{M}$.
(ii) There is an inclusion

$$\mathsf{add}\,\{\, M \in \mathsf{ind}\,\mathcal{M} \mid Me \neq 0 \,\} \subseteq (\mathsf{add}\,A \cap \mathsf{add}\,DA).$$

Set $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{M} \cap \mathsf{mod}(A/\langle e\rangle)$. Then, the following statements hold.

(i) The canonical inclusion $\mathsf{mod}(A/\langle e\rangle) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\,A$ is an ($\mathcal{N}$-relative) $(d-1)$-homological embedding.
(ii) The category $\mathcal{N}$ is a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}(A/\langle e\rangle)$.

We recall the definition of a d-Auslander dualising $\Bbbk$-variety from [IJ15, Def. 2.10], see also [Bel15].

Definition 1.4.9. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety and let d be a positive integer. Then, $\mathcal{A}$ is called a *d-Auslander dualising variety* if

$$\mathsf{gl.dim}\,\mathcal{A} \leq d+1 \leq \mathsf{dom.dim}\,\mathcal{A}$$

As in the case of finite dimensional algebras, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a non-semisimple d-Auslander dualising variety, then $\mathsf{gl.dim}\,\mathcal{A} = d+1 = \mathsf{dom.dim}\,\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 1.4.10 ([IJ15, Thm. 1.2])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) The category $\mathcal{M}$ is d-abelian.
(ii) The category $\mathcal{M}$ is a d-Auslander dualising variety.
(iii) There exist a dualising variety $\mathcal{A}$ and a fully faithful functor $\mathbb{F}\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{M}$ is a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$.

1.5. **$d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite algebras.** Different classes of algebras have been introduced as possible higher analogues of algebras of finite representation type from the viewpoint of higher Auslander–Reiten theory.

Definition 1.5.1 ([IO11, Def. 2.2])**.** Let A be a finite dimensional algebra.

(i) We say that A is *weakly d-representation-finite* if there exists a d-cluster-tilting A-module.
(ii) We say that A is *$d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite* if there exists a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting A-module.
(iii) We say that A is *d-representation-finite d-hereditary* if $\mathsf{gl.dim}\,A \leq d$ and A is $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite.

The subsequent observation gives a restriction on the global dimension of $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite algebras.

Proposition 1.5.2. *Let A be a $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite algebra of finite global dimension. Then, $\mathsf{gl.dim}\,A$ is a multiple of d. In particular, if A is d-representation-finite d-hereditary, then $\mathsf{gl.dim}\,A$ is either 0 or d.*

Proof. Since A has finite global dimension, the equality

$$\mathsf{gl.dim}\,A = \mathsf{max}\left\{\, i \in \mathbb{Z} \;\middle|\; \mathsf{Ext}^i_A(DA, A) \neq 0 \,\right\}$$

holds. Moreover, given that A is $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite, $\mathsf{Ext}^i_A(DA, A) \neq 0$ implies that $i \in d\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\mathsf{gl.dim}\,A$ is a multiple of d. The second claim is an immediate consequence of the first one. □

Next, we recall a result which makes manifest the analogy between d-representation-finite d-hereditary algebras and hereditary algebras of finite representation type.

Theorem 1.5.3 ([Iya11, Prop. 1.3])**.** *Let A be a d-representation-finite d-hereditary algebra. Then,*

$$\mathsf{add}\left\{ \tau_d^i(DA) \;\middle|\; i \geq 0 \right\} \subseteq \mathsf{mod}\, A$$

is the unique d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$. In particular, each indecomposable object $X \in \mathcal{M}$ is of the form $\tau_d^j I$ for some $0 \leq j \leq i_I$, where $i_I < \infty$ is the maximal number i such that $\tau_d^i I \neq 0$.

We fix the following notation.

Notation 1.5.4. Let A be a d-representation-finite d-hereditary algebra. We denote the unique d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ by $\mathcal{M}(A)$, see Theorem 1.5.3. We denote a basic additive generator of $\mathcal{M}(A)$ by $M(A)$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Krull–Schmidt triangulated category (we remind the reader of our assumptions on categories) and $\mathbb{S}\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ an autoequivalence. Recall from [BK89] that $\mathbb{S}$ is a *Serre functor* if there is a bifunctorial isomorphism $\mathcal{T}(X, Y) \cong D\mathcal{T}(Y, \mathbb{S}X)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$.

For a finite dimensional algebra A of finite global dimension, we denote the *derived Nakayama functor* by ${}_A\nu = \nu := - \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} DA\colon \mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{mod}\, A) \to \mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$, which is a Serre functor on $\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$, see [Hap88, Sec. 1.4] and [RvdB02, Thm. I.2.4].

Notation 1.5.5. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra of finite global dimension and set $\nu_d := \nu[-d]$. Following [Iya11], we define the subcategory $\mathcal{U}(A)$ of $\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}(A) := \mathsf{add}\left\{ \nu_d^i(DA) \;\middle|\; i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

If A is a d-representation-finite d-hereditary algebra, then the category $\mathcal{U}(A)$ is particularly well-behaved.

Theorem 1.5.6 ([Iya11, Thm. 1.2.1])**.** *Let A be a d-representation-finite d-hereditary algebra. Then $\mathcal{U}(A) = \mathsf{add}\left\{ M \in \mathcal{M}(A)[di] \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{mod}\, A)$.*

Remark 1.5.7. In Theorem 1.5.6, note that $\mathcal{U}(A)$ is a $(d+2)$-angulated category in the sense of [GKO13].

2. Higher Nakayama algebras

In this section we construct the higher Nakayama algebras and state the main results of this article, which is be proven in later sections. The constructions we present are generalisations of those in Subsection 1.1.

2.1. Higher Nakayama algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$.

Higher Auslander algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$, introduced in [Iya11], are to be thought of as higher analogues of the hereditary Nakayama algebras. As explained in the Introduction, for $d \geq 2$ the higher Nakayama algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$ are certain idempotent quotients of the higher Auslander algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$.

We begin this subsection by describing the higher Auslander algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$ in terms of the root lattices of type $\mathbb{A}$, in a similar vein to [HIO14, Sec. 5]. We then give a combinatorial description of the general higher Nakayama algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$.

2.1.1. *Higher Auslander algebras of type* $\mathbb{A}$. Let

$$V = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \;\middle|\; v_0 + v_1 + \cdots + v_d = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\Phi = \{ e_i - e_j \mid 0 \leq i \neq j \leq d \}$$

the *root system of type* $\mathbb{A}_d$ *in* V. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ define

$$\alpha_i := e_i - e_{i-1}$$

and note that $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d\}$ forms a system of simple roots in Φ. We also distinguish one additional root $\alpha_\emptyset := e_0 - e_d$. Let

$$L^{(d)} = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_d$$

be the *root lattice of type* $\mathbb{A}_d$. For simplicity, we often write

$$\mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1 := \mu_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + \mu_d\alpha_d \in L^{(d)}.$$

For a positive integer n, the *n-restricted root lattice of type* $\mathbb{A}_d$ is defined as

$$L_n^{(d)} := \left\{ \mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1 \in L^{(d)} \;\middle|\; 0 \leq \mu_d \leq \cdots \leq \mu_1 < n \right\}.$$

We define $Q_n^{(d)}$ to be the quiver with vertex set $L_n^{(d)}$ and arrows

$$a_i = a_i(\alpha) \colon \alpha \to \alpha + \alpha_i$$

whenever $\alpha, \alpha + \alpha_i \in L_n^{(d)}$, for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Example 2.1.1. The quiver $Q_n^{(1)}$ is precisely $\mathbb{A}_n$ and the quiver $Q_n^{(2)}$ is precisely that of the Auslander algebra of $A_n^{(1)} = \Bbbk\mathbb{A}_n$, as described in Subsection 1.1. The quiver of $Q_4^{(3)}$ is given as follows:



Assume $d \geq 2$. By convention, $a_i(\alpha) = 0$ in $\Bbbk Q_n^{(d)}$ if $a_i(\alpha)$ is not an arrow of $Q_n^{(d)}$. Let I be the admissible ideal of $\Bbbk Q_n^{(d)}$ generated by the elements

$$a_j(\alpha + \alpha_i)a_i(\alpha) - a_i(\alpha + \alpha_j)a_j(\alpha)$$

where α ranges over $L_n^{(d)}$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq d$. We define the algebra

$$A_n^{(d)} := \Bbbk Q_n^{(d)}/I.$$

Theorem 2.1.2 ([Iya11, Thm. 1.21])**.** *Let d and n be positive integers. Then, the algebra $A_n^{(d)}$ is d-representation-finite d-hereditary. Its (basic) d-Auslander algebra is isomorphic to $A_n^{(d+1)}$.*

Remark 2.1.3. The Gabriel quivers of the algebras $A_n^{(d)}$ were first described in [Iya11, Thms. 1.18 and 6.12]. For the convenience of the reader, we note that the

transformation used to pass from the notation used there to the notation preferred in this article is given by sending the tuple $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_d)$ to the point

$$\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j\right) - 1\right)\alpha_1 + \left(\sum_{j=2}^{d} b_j\right)\alpha_2 + \cdots + \left(\sum_{j=i}^{d} b_j\right)\alpha_i + \cdots + b_d\alpha_d$$

in the n-restricted root lattice $L_n^{(d)}$.

Remark 2.1.4. We note that in [Iya11] two different numberings of the vertices of the Gabriel quivers of $A_n^{(d+1)}$ are given; in our convention, these correspond to the projective $A_n^{(d)}$-modules having the left-most coordinate equal to $\emptyset$, and the other to the injective $A_n^{(d)}$ -modules having the left-most coordinate equal to $\emptyset$. One can pass between the two of them via the transformation

$$\mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1 \mapsto (n, \dots, n) - (\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_d).$$

We recall the combinatorial description of the cluster-tilting subcategories for the higher Auslander algebras following [Iya11] and in particular [OT12]. For the convenience of the reader, we translate the results we need from these articles to the language of root systems of type $\mathbb{A}$.

We consider $L^{(d)}$ as a partially ordered set by means of the lexicographic order, that is

$$\mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1 < \mu'_d\mu'_{d-1}\cdots\mu'_1$$

if and only if there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that for all $j \in \{0, \dots, i-1\}$ there is an equality $\mu_{d-j} = \mu'_{d-j}$ and an inequality $\mu_{d-i} < \mu'_{d-i}$. Note that, with this partial order, the quiver $Q_n^{(d)}$ is the Hasse quiver of $L_n^{(d)}$.

For the subsequent theorem we need to introduce a further relation on $L^{(d)}$. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mu_i\alpha_i$ and $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \nu_i\alpha_i$ be elements of $L^{(d)}$. We say that α *intertwines* β, and write $\alpha \wr \beta$, if

(i) $\nu_i - \mu_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$
(ii) $\mu_{i+1} - \nu_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$

With a slight abuse of notation, we also use this condition (dropping the non-defined condition $\nu_d - \mu_d \geq 0$) to say when an element $\alpha \in L^{(d)}$ intertwines an element $\beta \in L^{(d-1)}$ and also denote this by $\alpha \wr \beta$.

Furthermore, for $\alpha \in Q_n^{(d+1)}$ we denote by M_α the indecomposable direct summand of $M(A_n^{(d)})$ corresponding to the vertex α. For $\beta \in Q_n^{(d)}$ we denote by S_β the simple $A_n^{(d)}$-module corresponding to the vertex β. Recall that the map

$$\tau_d \colon \left\{ \alpha = \mu_d\cdots\mu_1 \in Q_n^{(d+1)} \;\middle|\; \mu_d \neq \emptyset \right\} \to Q_n^{(d+1)}$$

is defined by

$$\tau_d(\alpha) := \alpha + \alpha_\emptyset = (\mu_d - 1, \cdots, \mu_\emptyset - 1).$$

Theorem 2.1.5 ([OT12, Thms. 3.4, 3.6])**.** *Let $d \geq 1$. Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) Let $\alpha = \mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1$. Then, the $A_n^{(d)}$-module M_α has composition factors

$$[M_\alpha : S_\beta] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \wr \beta \text{ (equivalently } \beta \in [\mu_{d+1}\dots\mu_2, \mu_d\dots\mu_1]) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) The Loewy length of $M_{\mu_{d+1}\cdots\mu_1}$ is given by $\mu_1 - \mu_{d+1} + 1$.

(iii) There is an isomorphism of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{A_n^{(d)}}(M_\alpha, M_\beta) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk & \text{if } \alpha \wr \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iv) *There is an isomorphism of* $\Bbbk$*-vector spaces*

$$\mathsf{Ext}^d_{A_n^{(d)}}(M_\alpha, M_\beta) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk & \text{if } \beta \wr \tau_d(\alpha) \text{ (equivalently } \tau_d^-(\beta) \wr \alpha) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(v) *The* d*-Auslander–Reiten translate of a module is given by* $\tau_d M_\alpha = M_{\alpha+\alpha_\emptyset}$*, whenever* $\alpha + \alpha_\emptyset \in L_n^{(d)}$*. Otherwise, the module* M_α *is projective.*

Proof. The only part that is not explicitly stated in [OT12] is part (ii). It follows from the statement that the modules M_α have a "box-shaped support" between $\mu_{d+1}\dots\mu_2$ and $\mu_d\dots\mu_1$. Since each arrow in the quiver of $A_n^{(d)}$ increases one entry of this vector by one, each path from $\mu_{d+1}\dots\mu_2$ to $\mu_d\dots\mu_1$ has length $\sum_{j=1}^d(\mu_{j+1}-\mu_j) = \mu_{d+1}-\mu_1$. As M_α has simple top and simple socle, it follows that this is equal to the Loewy length of the module. □

Remark 2.1.6. The conditions in Theorem 2.1.5 are stated differently in [OT12]. To pass from the notation we favour in this article to the one employed in *loc. cit.* one needs to translate $L_n^{(d)}$ by the vector $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 2d-1)$.

We define an *ad-hoc* notion of Kupisch series for our situation. For positive integers d and n, we define the *Kupisch series of* $A_n^{(d)}$ to be the integer vector $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ where $\ell_i = \ell\ell(P_{ii\cdots i})$ denotes the Loewy length of the projective cover of the simple $A_n^{(d)}$-module at the vertex $ii\cdots i$.

Proposition 2.1.7 ([OT12, Prop. 3.17 and Rmk. 3.18])**.** *Let* d *and* n *be positive integers. Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) *For* $\mu_d \neq \emptyset$ *(in which case the module is projective), a projective resolution of the* $A_n^{(d)}$*-module* $M_{\mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to M_{\emptyset,\mu_{d+1}-1,\mu_d-1,\cdots,\mu_2-1} \to M_{\emptyset,\mu_{d+1}-1,\mu_d-1,\cdots\mu_3-1\mu_1} \to \cdots \\ &\to M_{\emptyset,\mu_{d+1}-1,\mu_{d-1}\mu_{d-2}\cdots\mu_1} \to M_{\emptyset\mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1} \\ &\to M_{\mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *For* $\mu_1 \neq n$ *(in which case the module is injective), an injective coresolution of the* $A_n^{(d)}$*-module* $M_{\mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to M_{\mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1} \to M_{\mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_2,n} \to M_{\mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_3,\mu_1+1,n} \to \cdots \\ &\to M_{\mu_d+1,\mu_{d-1}+1,\cdots,\mu_1+1,n} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *The Kupisch series of* $A_n^{(d)}$ *is* $(1, 2, \dots, n)$*, that is the Kupisch series of* $A_n^{(d)}$ *and of* $A_n^{(1)}$ *coincide.*

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) is stated in [OT12, Prop. 3.17 and Rmk. 3.18]. According to Theorem 2.1.5(ii), the length of the projective cover $M_{\emptyset ii\cdots i}$ of the simple module at the vertex $ii\cdots i$ is $i+1$. Part (iii) readily follows. □

2.1.2. *Higher Nakayama algebras of type* $\mathbb{A}$. For the general higher Nakayama algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$, we proceed as follows. Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset = 1$. We define

$$H_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)} := \left\{ \mu_d\cdots\mu_1 \in L_n^{(d)} \;\middle|\; \exists 0 \le j \le n-1 : \ell_{j-1} \ge \ell_j,\ \mu_1 \le j - \ell_j,\ \mu_d \ge j \right\}$$

$$L_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)} := L_n^{(d)} \setminus H_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$$

and $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d-1)}$ as the subalgebra of $A_n^{(d)}$ supported on the full subquiver $Q_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ of $Q_n^{(d)}$ with vertex set $L_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$. We define the algebra

$$A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)} := A_n^{(d)}/\langle e_{\underline{\ell}} \rangle$$

where $e_{\underline{\ell}}$ is the idempotent of $A_n^{(d)}$ corresponding to the vertex set $H_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$. Note that if $\underline{\ell} = (1, 2, \ldots, n)$, then $H_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is empty and hence $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)} = A_n^{(d)}$.

Example 2.1.8. Let $\underline{\ell} = (1, 2, 2, 3)$. Then, the quiver $Q_{\underline{\ell}}^{(3)}$ is given as follows:



We extend the notion of Kupisch series to the algebras $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ in the obvious way: the *Kupisch series of* $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is defined as the integer vector $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1})$ where $\ell_i = \ell\ell(P_{ii\cdots i})$ denotes the Loewy length of the projective cover of the simple $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-module at the vertex $ii\cdots i$.

Theorem 2.1.9. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ldots, \ell_{n-1})$ a Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset = 1$. Then, the following statements hold.*

- *(i) The algebra $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite with a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}(A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ whose (basic) d-Auslander algebra is isomorphic to $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$.*
- *(ii) The Kupisch series of $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is $\underline{\ell}$, that is the Kupisch series of $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ and $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$ coincide.*
- *(iii) For every simple module $S \in \mathcal{M}(A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ and every integer i, the module $\tau_d^i S$ is either simple or 0.*
- *(iv) All the simple modules in $\mathcal{M}(A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ lie in a single τ_d-orbit.*

A proof is be given in Subsection 3.1. The main idea is that $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ can be obtained inductively starting from $A_n^{(d)}$ using Lemma 1.4.6. Thus the $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}(A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is a subcategory of the $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}(A_n^{(d)})$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A_n^{(d)}$. The additional properties then follow from the properties listed in Theorem 2.1.5.

2.2. **Higher Nakayama algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$.** We begin by describing the higher analogues of the selfinjective Nakayama algebras. These algebras are introduced in [DI16] with different motivation.

Let $\ell \geq 2$. We define

$$L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)} := \left\{ \mu_d \mu_{d-1} \cdots \mu_1 \in L^{(d)} \;\middle|\; \mu_d \leq \mu_{d-1} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_1,\, 0 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_d < \ell \right\}$$

and $Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ to be the (infinite) quiver with vertex set $L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ and arrows

$$a_i = a_i(\alpha) \colon \alpha \to \alpha + \alpha_i$$

whenever $\alpha, \alpha + \alpha_i \in L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$, for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Remark 2.2.1. For each $\ell \geq 2$, the quiver $Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(1)}$ is precisely $\mathbb{A}_\infty^\infty$.

Assume $d \geq 2$. By convention, $a_i(\alpha) = 0$ in $\Bbbk Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ if $a_i(\alpha)$ is not an arrow of $Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$. Let I' be the admissible ideal of $\Bbbk Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ generated by the elements

$$a_j(\alpha + \alpha_i) a_i(\alpha) - a_i(\alpha + \alpha_j) a_j(\alpha)$$

where $\alpha = \mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1$ is such that $\mu_1 - \mu_d < \ell - 1$ and $1 \le i < j \le d$. We also consider the admissible ideal I of $\Bbbk Q^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ generated by the elements

$$a_j(\alpha + \alpha_i)a_i(\alpha) - a_i(\alpha + \alpha_j)a_j(\alpha)$$

where α ranges over $L^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ and $1 \le i < j \le d$. We define the (infinite dimensional, non-unital) algebras

$$M^{(d-1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell} := \Bbbk Q^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}/I'$$

and

$$A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell} := \Bbbk Q^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}/I.$$

Note that $Q^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ has a translation defined by

$$\tau_d(\alpha) := \alpha + \alpha_\emptyset$$

for $\alpha \in L^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$, and that τ_d preserves I and I'. Hence, we may define the algebras

$$M^{(d-1)}_{n,\ell} := M^{(d-1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}/\tau_d^n$$

and

$$\widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{n-1,\ell} := A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}/\tau_d^n.$$

Example 2.2.2. The Gabriel quiver of $M^{(2)}_{\mathbb{Z}3}$ is given as follows:



Theorem 2.2.3 ([DI16])**.** *Let d and n be positive integers and $\ell \ge 2$. Then, the algebra $\widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{n-1,\ell}$ is selfinjective and $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite. Moreover, it has a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{n-1,\ell})$ of $\mathsf{mod}\,\widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{n-1,\ell}$ whose (basic) d-Auslander algebra is isomorphic to $M^{(d)}_{n,\ell}$.*

For the general higher Nakayama algebras of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ we proceed as follows. Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ldots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset \ne 1$ and let $\ell = \mathsf{max}\{\,\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}\,\}$. Set $\ell_{j+in} := \ell_j$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define

$$H^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}} := \{\,\mu_d \ldots \mu_1 \mid \exists j \in \mathbb{Z} : \ell_{j-1} \ge \ell_j,\ \mu_d \le j - \ell_j,\ \mu_1 \ge j\,\}$$
$$L^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}} := L^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell} \setminus H^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$$

and $M^{(d-1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$ as the subalgebra of $M^{(d-1)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ supported by the full subquiver of $Q^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ with vertex set $L^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$. Also, we define the (infinite dimensional, non-unital) algebra

$$\widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}} := \widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}/\langle e_{\underline{\ell}}\rangle$$

where $\langle e_{\underline{\ell}}\rangle$ is the idempotent ideal of $\widetilde{A}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ corresponding to the vertex set $H^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$.

Example 2.2.4. Let $\underline{\ell} = (2, 2, 3)$. The Gabriel quiver of $M^{(2)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$ is given as follows:

Note that τ_d^n preserves $M_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(d-1)}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$. Hence, we may define the algebras

$$\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)} := \widetilde{A}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}/\tau_d^n$$

and

$$M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d-1)} := M_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(d-1)}/\tau_d^n.$$

Example 2.2.5. Let $\underline{\ell} = (2, 3, 3)$. The Gabriel quiver of $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(3)}$ is given as follows:



where the two vertices labelled $\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset$ have to be identified.

We extend the notion of Kupisch series to the algebras $\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ in the obvious way: the *Kupisch series of* $\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is defined as the integer vector $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1})$ where $\ell_i = \ell\ell(P_{ii\cdots i})$ denotes the Loewy length of the projective cover of the simple $\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-module at the vertex $ii\cdots i$.

Theorem 2.2.6. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ldots, \ell_{n-1})$ a Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset \neq 1$. Then, the following statements hold.*

- *(i) The algebra $\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite with a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, \widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ whose (basic) d-Auslander algebra is isomorphic to $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$.*
- *(ii) The Kupisch series of $\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is $\underline{\ell}$, that is the Kupisch series of $\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$ coincide.*
- *(iii) For every simple module $S \in \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ and every positive integer i, the module $\tau_d^i S$ is simple.*
- *(iv) All simple modules in $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ lie in a single τ_d-orbit.*

A proof is be given in Subsection 3.2. The main idea is to construct a dualising variety $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ for an infinite Kupisch series $\underline{\ell}$ with an admissible $\mathbb{Z}$-action in the sense of [DI16]. This dualising variety $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ locally looks like one of the finite type $\mathbb{A}$ Nakayama algebras and thus the properties follow from the fact that these are in fact local properties invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}$-action.

2.3. **The algebras $A_\infty^{(d)}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)}$.** We introduce higher analogues of the infinite dimensional algebras $A_\infty^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(1)}$. Let

$$L_\infty^{(d)} := \left\{ \mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1 \in L^{(d)} \;\middle|\; \mu_d \leq \mu_{d-1} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_1,\, 0 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_d \right\}$$

and $Q_\infty^{(d)}$ be the (infinite) quiver with vertex set $L_\infty^{(d)}$ and arrows

$$a_i = a_i(\alpha)\colon \alpha \to \alpha + \alpha_i$$

whenever $\alpha, \alpha + \alpha_i \in L_\infty^{(d)}$, for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Remark 2.3.1. The quiver $Q_\infty^{(1)}$ is precisely $\mathbb{A}_\infty^\infty$.

Assume $d \geq 2$. By convention, $a_i(\alpha) = 0$ in $\Bbbk Q_\infty^{(d)}$ if $a_i(\alpha)$ is not an arrow of $Q_\infty^{(d)}$. Let I be the admissible ideal of $\Bbbk Q_\infty^{(d)}$ generated by the elements

$$a_j(\alpha + \alpha_i)a_i(\alpha) - a_i(\alpha + \alpha_j)a_j(\alpha)$$

where α ranges over $L_\infty^{(d)}$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq d$. We define the (infinite dimensional, non-unital) algebra

$$A_\infty^{(d)} := \Bbbk Q_\infty^{(d)}/I$$

as well as the category

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty^{(d-1)} := \mathsf{proj}\, A_\infty^{(d)}.$$

Example 2.3.2. The Gabriel quiver of $A_\infty^{(3)}$ is given as follows:



Note that $Q_\infty^{(d)}$ has a translation defined by

$$\tau_d(\alpha) := \alpha + \alpha_\emptyset$$

for $\alpha \in L_\infty^{(d)}$, and that τ_d preserves I. Hence, for a positive integer n we may define the (infinite dimensional, non-unital) algebra

$$\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)} := A_\infty^{(d)}/\tau_d^n$$

as well as the category

$$\mathcal{T}_n^{(d-1)} := \mathsf{proj}\, \widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)}.$$

Example 2.3.3. The Gabriel quiver of $\widetilde{A}_{2,\infty}^{(3)}$ is given as follows:



Theorem 2.3.4. *Let $d \geq 2$. Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) There is an equivalence of additive categories between $\mathcal{M}_\infty^{(d)}$ and a subcategory $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ of the abelian category $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$ of nilpotent representations of $A_\infty^{(d)}$. Moreover,
   (a) $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$,
   (b) the category $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ has d-almost split sequences,
   (c) every indecomposable object in $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ lies on an infinite τ_d-orbit.

(ii) Let n be a positive integer. Then, there is an equivalence of additive categories between $\mathcal{T}_n^{(d)}$ and a subcategory $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)})$ of the abelian category $\mathsf{nil}\, \widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)}$ of nilpotent representations of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)}$. Moreover,
   (a) $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)})$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{nil}\, \widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)}$,
   (b) the category $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)})$ has d-almost split sequences,
   (c) every indecomposable object in $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)})$ lies on a finite τ_d-orbit of order n.

A proof is contained in Subsection 3.3. The idea is to write $A_\infty^{(d)}$ as an inverse limit of a projective system of dualising varieties $A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ constructed in the course of the proof of 2.2.6. A careful analysis then shows that the properties of $A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ carry over to $A_\infty^{(d)}$.

The following theorem should be compared with Theorem 1.5.6.

Theorem 2.3.5. *Let $d \geq 2$. Then,*

(i) the subcategory of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(A_\infty^{(d)}) := \mathsf{add}\left\{ M \in \mathcal{M}_\infty^{(d)}[di] \;\middle|\; i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory, and

(ii) for every positive integer n the subcategory

$$\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)}) := \mathsf{add}\left\{ M \in \mathcal{T}_\infty^{(d)}[di] \;\middle|\; i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{nil}\, \widetilde{A}_{n,\infty}^{(d)})$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory.

A proof is contained in Subsection 3.4. The main idea is to write $A_\infty^{(d)}$ as a different direct limit of a projective system of $A_{2n}^{(d)}$'s and to observe that the embedding $\mathsf{mod}\, A_{2n}^{(d)} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, A_{2(n+1)}^{(d)}$ is homological.

Remark 2.3.6. In Theorem 2.3.5, note that $\mathcal{U}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ and $\mathcal{U}(\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)})$ are $(d+2)$-angulated categories, see [GKO13, Thm. 1].

3. Proofs of the main theorems

In this section we give proofs of Theorems 2.1.9, 2.2.6, 2.3.4, and 2.3.5.

3.1. **Proof of Theorem** 2.1.9**.** In this subsection, we construct the higher Nakayama algebras $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ of type $\mathbb{A}$ as stated in Theorem 2.1.9. This is achieved by inductively using the idempotent reduction lemma, Lemma 1.4.6. This proves the first part of (i) in Theorem 2.1.9.

Theorem 3.1.1. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a Kupisch series as defined in Definition* 1.1.2*. Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) The algebra $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is weakly d-representation-finite with a d-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}(A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ whose basic d-Auslander algebra is isomorphic to $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$.

(ii) Let $j_1, \ldots, j_t$ be the indices such that $\ell_{j_i - 1} \geq \ell_{j_i}$. Then,

(a) the projective $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-modules are labelled by $\mu_{d+1}\mu_d \cdots \mu_1$ with

$$(\mu_{d+1}, \mu_1) \in \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} (\emptyset, \emptyset), & \cdots & (\emptyset, j_1 - 1), \\ (j_1 - \ell_{j_1} + 1, j_1), & \cdots & (j_1 - \ell_{j_1} + 1, j_2 - 1), \\ & \cdots & \\ (j_t - \ell_{j_t} + 1, j_t), & \ldots & (j_t - \ell_{j_t} + 1, n) \end{array} \right\},$$

(b) the injective $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-modules are labelled by $\mu_{d+1}\mu_d \cdots \mu_1$ with

$$(\mu_{d+1}, \mu_1) \in \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} (\emptyset, j_1 - 1), & \ldots & (j_1 - \ell_{j_1}, j_1 - 1), \\ & \cdots & \\ (j_{t-1} - \ell_{j_{t-1}} + 1, j_t - 1), & \ldots & (j_t - \ell_{j_t}, j_t - 1), \\ (j_t - \ell_{j_t} + 1, n), & \ldots & (n, n) \end{array} \right\},$$

(c) the projective-injective $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-modules are labelled by $\mu_{d+1}\mu_d \cdots \mu_1$ with

$$(\mu_{d+1}, \mu_1) \in \{\, (\emptyset, j_1), (j_i - \ell_{j_i} + 1, j_{i+1} - 1), (j_t - \ell_{j_t} + 1, n) \mid i \in \{\, 1, \ldots, t-1 \,\} \,\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K}_n$ be the set of all Kupisch series of size n. Then, the restriction of the product order defines a partial order on $\mathcal{K}_n$. An upper neighbour to each element $(\ell_\emptyset, \dots, \ell_{n-1}) \neq (1, 2, \dots, n)$ of $\mathcal{K}_n$ is given by the element $(\ell_\emptyset, \dots, \ell_{j-1}, \ell_j + 1, \ell_{j+1}, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$, where j is the smallest index such that $\ell_j \leq j$. Thus, there is a path in the Hasse quiver of $\mathcal{K}_n$ from each element to the maximal element $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that two neighbouring elements differ only by 1 in only one entry. We proceed by induction on the distance from $\underline{\ell}$ to the maximal element of $\mathcal{K}_n$. The base of the induction is clear since the indecomposable projective $A_n^{(d)}$-modules are precisely those of the form M_α with $\alpha = \emptyset\mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1$, see Theorem 2.1.5(v).

Let i be the smallest index such that $\ell_i \leq i$ and define

$$\hat{\underline{\ell}} = (\hat{\ell}_\emptyset, \dots, \hat{\ell}_{i-1}, \hat{\ell}_i, \hat{\ell}_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{\ell}_{n-1}) := (\ell_\emptyset, \dots, \ell_{i-1}, \ell_i + 1, \ell_{i+1}, \dots, \ell_{n-1}).$$

It follows that the distance from $\hat{\underline{\ell}}$ to the maximal element in $\mathcal{K}_n$ is that of $\underline{\ell}$ minus 1. Hence, by induction, we know that the statements of the theorem hold for $A_{\hat{\underline{\ell}}}^{(d)}$.

We claim that the inequality $\ell_{i-1} \geq \ell_i$ holds. Indeed, suppose otherwise that $\ell_{i-1} < \ell_i$. This implies that $\ell_i - \ell_{i-1} \geq 1$. Since $\underline{\ell}$ is a Kupisch series, it follows that $\ell_i - \ell_{i-1} = 1$. But this implies that

$$\hat{\ell}_i - \hat{\ell}_{i-1} = (1 + \ell_i) - \ell_{i-1} = 2 > 1.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\hat{\underline{\ell}}$ is a Kupisch series. The claim follows.

We claim that

$$H_{\hat{\underline{\ell}}} \cup \{\, \mu_{d+1}\mu_d \dots \mu_1 \mid \ell_{i-1} \geq \ell_i,\ \mu_{d+1} = i - \ell_i,\ \mu_1 = i \,\} = H_{\underline{\ell}}. \tag{1}$$

To prove this claim first note that since $\underline{\ell}$ is a Kupisch series, there is the inequality

$$\hat{\ell}_i = \ell_i + 1 \geq \ell_{i+1} = \hat{\ell}_{i+1}.$$

Hence $H_{\hat{\underline{\ell}}}$ contains all $\mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1$ with $\mu_{d+1} \leq i + 1 - \ell_{i+1}$ and $\mu_1 \geq i + 1$. In particular, it contains all elements with $\mu_{d+1} \leq i - \ell_i$ and $\mu_1 \geq i + 1$ given that $-\ell_i \leq -\ell_{i+1}+1$ because $\underline{\ell}$ is a Kupisch series. For the other elements we distinguish two cases:

- $\hat{\underline{\ell}}_{i-1} \geq \hat{\underline{\ell}}_i$. Then, $H_{\hat{\underline{\ell}}}$ contains all elements with $\mu_1 \leq i - (\ell_i + 1)$ and $\mu_{d+1} \geq i$.
- $\hat{\underline{\ell}}_{i-1} < \hat{\underline{\ell}}_i$. In this case, there has to be an equality $\ell_{i-1} = \ell_i$, otherwise $\underline{\ell}$ would not be a Kupisch series. Then, since there is no $h < i$ with $\ell_{h-1} \geq \ell_h$, $\ell_i + 1 = i + 1$ therefore are no elements with $\mu_{d+1} \leq i - (\ell_i + 1)$.

This proves the claim.

To finish the induction, it only remains to determine the projective and the injective $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-modules and apply Lemma 1.4.6. For the modules where $\mu_{d+1} = \emptyset$, that these modules are projective $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-modules follows from the fact that they are even projective as $A_n^{(d)}$-modules.

If $\mu_{d+1} \neq \emptyset$, then we are in the case where $\mu_{d+1} = j_s - \ell_{j_s} + 1$ and μ_1 satisfies $j_s \leq \mu_1 \leq j_{s+1} - 1$ for some $1 \leq s \leq t - 1$. By convention $j_{t+1} := n + 1$. Consider a projective presentation

$$M_{\emptyset, j_s - \ell_{j_s}, \mu_{d-1}, \dots, \mu_1} \to M_{\emptyset, \mu_d, \dots, \mu_1} \to M_{j_s - \ell_{j_s} + 1, \mu_d, \dots, \mu_1} \to 0$$

of such module $M_{j_s - \ell_{j_s}+1, \mu_d, \dots, \mu_1}$ as a $A_n^{(d)}$-module. The module $M_{\emptyset, j_s - \ell_{j_s}, \mu_{d-1}, \dots, \mu_1}$ is the projective cover of $S_{j_s - \ell_{j_s}, \mu_{d-1}, \dots, \mu_1}$ and

$$(j_s - \ell_{j_s}, \mu_{d-1}, \dots, \mu_1) \in H_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}.$$

Hence, the indecomposable projective $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-module corresponding to the vertex $\mu_{d+1}\mu_d \dots \mu_1$ is a factor module of $M_{j_s - \ell_{j_s}+1, \mu_d, \dots, \mu_1}$ by idempotents in $H_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d-1)}$.

But $M_{j_s-\ell_{j_s}+1,\mu_d,\dots,\mu_1}$ does not contain any composition factor contained in $H^{(d-1)}_{\underline{\ell}}$. Thus, $M_{j_s-\ell_{j_s}+1,\mu_d\dots\mu_1}$ is projective as a $A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$-module. The proof for the injective modules is dual.

To finish the proof just note that by (1) and what we just proved the difference between $H_{\underline{\ell}}$ and $H_{\underline{\hat{\ell}}}$ only consists of projective-injective $A^{(d)}_{\underline{\hat{\ell}}}$-modules. □

Using Theorem 2.1.5 and its proof we obtain information on $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}})$.

Proposition 3.1.2. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\underline{\ell}$ be the Kupisch series of a Nakayama algebra of type $\mathbb{A}$. Then, the following statements about $A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$-modules hold.*

(i) Let $\alpha = \mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1$. Then, the $A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$-module M_α has composition factors

$$[M_\alpha : S_\beta] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \wr \beta \text{ (equivalently } \beta \in [\mu_{d+1}\dots\mu_2, \mu_d\dots\mu_1]) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) There is an isomorphism of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}}(M_\alpha, M_\beta) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk & \text{if } \alpha \wr \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) The d-Auslander–Reiten translate of a module is given by $\tau_d M_\alpha = M_{\alpha+\alpha_\emptyset}$, whenever $\alpha + \alpha_\emptyset \in L^{(d)}_n$ and the module M_α is not projective.

Proof. By construction of $M^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$, using Lemma 1.4.6 iteratively, the d-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is a subcategory of the d-cluster tilting subcategory $\mathcal{M}^{(d)}_n$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_n$. Properties (i) and (ii) thus follow from Theorem 2.1.5. Since τ_d can be spotted by the existence of d-almost split sequences and there are fewer maps in $\mathcal{M}^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$, it follows that τ_d on $\mathcal{M}^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ coincides with τ_d in $\mathcal{M}^{(d)}_n$ in case the module is non-projective. This gives (iii). □

This proves parts (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 2.1.9.

Corollary 3.1.3. *Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset = 1$. Let $j_1, \dots, j_t$ be the indices, such that $\ell_{j_i-1} \geq \ell_{j_i}$. Then, $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}) \subseteq \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory. Hence, $A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite algebra.*

Proof. We have to prove that $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}})$ is closed under Ω^d, see [IJ15, Def.-Prop. 2.15]. For this, note that by the explicit description of $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}})$, the full subcategory $\mathcal{M}'$ of $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}})$ of direct sums of modules M_α with $\alpha = \mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_\emptyset$ with $\mu_{d+1} \geq j_i - \ell_{j_i} + 1$ and $\mu_1 \leq j_{i+1} - 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq t$ with $j_0 - \ell_{j_0} + 1 := 0$ (by convention $j_{t+1} := n+1$) is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_m)$ for $m = j_{i+1} - j_i - \ell_{j_i} - 1$. The equivalence being given by sending M_α to $M_{\alpha-(j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\dots,j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1)}$. By Proposition 2.1.7, in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_m)$ the projective resolution of $M_{\alpha-(j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\dots,j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1)}$ for $\alpha = \mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to M_{\emptyset,\mu_{d+1}-j_i+\ell_{j_i}-2,\dots,\mu_2-j_i+\ell_{j_i}-2} \to M_{\emptyset,\mu_d-j_i+\ell_{j_i}-2,\dots,\mu_2-j_i+\ell_{j_i}-2,\mu_1-j_i+\ell_{j_i}-1} \\ &\to \cdots \to M_{\emptyset,\mu_d-j_i+\ell_{j_i}-2,\mu_{d-2}-j_i+\ell_{j_i}-1,\dots,\mu_1-j_i+\ell_{j_i}-1} \\ &\to M_{\emptyset,(\mu_d\cdots\mu_1)-(j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\dots,j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1)} \to M_{\alpha-(j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\dots,j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1)} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

As the categories $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}(A_m^{(d)})$ are equivalent, applying the quasi-inverse equivalence yields an exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to M_{j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\mu_{d+1}-1,\dots,\mu_2-1} &\to M_{j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\mu_d-1,\dots,\mu_2-1,\mu_1}\\ \to \cdots \to M_{j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\mu_d-1,\mu_{d-2},\dots,\mu_1} &\to M_{j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\mu_d\dots\mu_1} \to M_\alpha \to 0\end{aligned}$$

Apart from the outer terms, all the modules in the sequence are projective if $j_i-1 \leq \mu_1 \leq j_{i+1}-1$. Thus, $\Omega^d M_\alpha \cong M_{j_i-\ell_{j_i}+1,\mu_{d+1}-1,\dots,\mu_2-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$. □

Note that this proves the second part of Theorem 2.1.9(i). We now prove Theorem 2.1.9(ii).

Proposition 3.1.4. *Let d be a positive integer and $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset \neq 1$. Let $j_1, \dots, j_t$ be the indices such that $\ell_{j_{i-1}} \geq \ell_{j_i}$. Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) Let $j_{i(k)} \leq k \leq j_{i(k)+1}-1$. Let $m = j_{i(k)}$. Then, the projective cover of the simple $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$-module at the vertex $kk\cdots k$ is $M_{m-\ell_m+1,k\dots k}$.

(ii) The Kupisch series of $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is given by $\underline{\ell}$.

Proof. We already know that $M_{m-\ell_m+1,k\dots k}$ is indecomposable projective. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that there is a non-zero map from this module to the simple at vertex $k\dots k$. This readily follows from Proposition 3.1.2(ii). This proves part (i).

To prove part (ii) note that the Loewy length of $M_{m-\ell_m+1,k\dots k}$ is given by $k-(m-\ell_m+1)+1 = k-m-\ell_m = \ell_k$ since from m to k the number ℓ_j always increases by 1 by the definition of m. □

This finishes the construction of the higher Nakayama algebras of type $\mathbb{A}$.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem** 2.2.6**.** In this subsection we construct the higher Nakayama algebras $\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ as stated in Theorem 2.2.6. This is achieved by first viewing the (infinite dimensional, non-unital) algebra $\widetilde{A}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ as the "limit" of d-Auslander algebras $A_{(1,2,\dots,\ell,\dots,\ell)}^{(d)}$ and thus understanding their combinatorics. Then, we apply again the idempotent reduction lemma, Lemma 1.4.6.

Proposition 3.2.1. *Let $M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ be as defined in Section 2.2. Then $\mathsf{proj}\, M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ is a d-Auslander dualising $\Bbbk$-variety.*

Proof. We show that $\mathsf{proj}\, M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ is a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety by showing that $\mathsf{proj}\, M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ is locally bounded (and using Proposition 1.2.3). Since $\mathsf{proj}\, M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ is isomorphic to its opposite category, it suffices to show that $\sum_{\beta \in L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}} \mathsf{Hom}(\alpha,\beta) < \infty$ for each $\alpha \in L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$. Locally, around α, the quiver and relations of $M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ are the same as for $M_{(1,2,\dots,\ell,\dots,\ell)}^{(d)}$ for sufficiently many ℓ's and α "not too far to the right". Identifying α with M_α and β with M_β we see that $M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}(\alpha,\beta) \cong \mathsf{Hom}_{A_{(1,2,\dots,\ell,\dots,\ell)}^{(d)}}(M_\alpha, M_\beta)$, which is non-zero if and only if $\alpha \wr \beta$ (and in this case it is one-dimensional). But there are only finitely many $\beta \in L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ with $\alpha \wr \beta$. Thus, $M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$ is locally bounded.

For showing that

$$\mathsf{gl.dim}\, \mathsf{proj}\, M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)} \leq d+1 \leq \mathsf{dom.dim}\, \mathsf{proj}\, M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$$

it suffices to note that, because of the local boundedness and the fact that $\tau_d(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha_\emptyset$ induces an autoequivalence of $\mathsf{proj}\, M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$, one can instead consider a projective resolution of S_α inside some big enough $M_{(1,2,\dots,\ell,\dots,\ell)}^{(d)}$, respectively the injective coresolution of P_α in some big enough $M_{(1,2,\dots,\ell,\dots,\ell)}^{(d)}$. But these (co)resolutions

have the correct lengths since $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{(1,2,\ldots,\ell,\ldots,\ell)}$ is a d-Auslander algebra by Theorem 3.1.1. It follows that $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ is a d-Auslander dualising variety. □

Theorem 3.2.2. *Let $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ and $M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ be as defined in Section 2.2. Then, the projective objects are the same as the injective objects and are given by those $\mu_{d+1}\mu_d\ldots\mu_1$ where $\mu_1 - \mu_{d+1} = \ell - 1$. Furthermore, $M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ is a d-cluster tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.1 and the d-Auslander correspondence for dualising $\Bbbk$-varieties (Theorem 1.4.10) it suffices to show that $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ is isomorphic to the category of the projective-injective objects in $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$.

Arguing similarly as in the proof of Proposition 3.2.1, to determine if an object is projective in $M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$, it is enough to check whether it is projective in some big enough $M^{(d)}_{(1,2,\ldots,\ell,\ldots,\ell)}$ since each object only maps to finitely many others. This gives that the projective objects are precisely the ones stated in the theorem. Dually, one gets that they coincide with the injective objects. To compute the category of projective-injective objects in $M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ again it suffices to compute homomorphism spaces in some big enough $M^{(d)}_{(1,2,\ldots,\ell,\ldots,\ell)}$. Comparing with the quiver of $A^{(d)}_{(1,2,\ldots,\ell,\ldots,\ell)}$ one easily sees that this subcategory is given by $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ which finishes the proof by Theorem 1.4.10. □

Corollary 3.2.3. *Let ℓ be a positive integer. Then, the following statements about $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$-modules hold.*

(i) Let $\alpha = \mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1$. Then, the $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$-module M_α has composition factors

$$[M_\alpha : S_\beta] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \wr \beta \text{ (equivalently } \beta \in [\mu_d\ldots\mu_1, \mu_{d+1}\ldots\mu_2]) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) There is an isomorphism of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}}(M_\alpha, M_\beta) \cong \begin{cases} \Bbbk & \text{if } \alpha \wr \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) The d-Auslander–Reiten translate of a module is given by $\tau_d M_\alpha = M_{\alpha+\alpha_\emptyset}$, whenever $\alpha + \alpha_\emptyset \in L^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ and the module M_α is not projective.

Let $\underline{\ell}$ be a (not necessarily periodic) bounded infinite Kupisch series. Using Lemma 1.4.6 in a similar way as for Theorem 3.1.1 one arrives at:

Theorem 3.2.4. *The category $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ embeds as a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$.*

Proof. Let $\ell := \mathsf{max}\{\, \ell_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \,\}$ which exists since $\underline{\ell}$ is bounded. Hence, $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ embeds into $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$. Furthermore $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ can be reached from $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ in an inductive way using Lemma 1.4.6 where in each step one only loses projective-injective modules similarly as done in the construction of $A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$, see Theorem 3.1.1. We leave the details to the reader. □

Theorem 3.2.5. *Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a Kupisch series with $\ell_\emptyset = 1$. Then, the category $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ embeds as a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, \widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$. In particular, $\widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is $d\mathbb{Z}$-representation-finite.*

Proof. Let $\ell_{j+ni} := \ell_j$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. According to the foregoing theorem, $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$ embeds as a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$. It is immediate from the construction that τ_d^n acts as an automorphism on $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}$. This action is admissible in the sense of [DI16]. Dividing out by this action using [DI16], one obtains $\mathsf{proj}\, M^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ as a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of the module category of $\widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$. □

This finishes the construction of the (finite dimensional) higher Nakayama algebras.

3.3. **Proof of Theorem** 2.3.4**.** We only show statement (i); statement (ii) can be proven in a completely analogous manner.

Let $d, \ell \geq 2$. Then, there is a surjective homomorphism

$$A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}(\ell+1)} \twoheadrightarrow A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$$

whose kernel is the idempotent ideal of $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}(\ell+1)}$ generated by the subset of $L^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}(\ell+1)}$ defined by

$$\left\{ \mu_d\mu_{d-1}\cdots\mu_1 \in L^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}(\ell+1)} \;\middle|\; \mu_1 - \mu_d = \ell \right\}.$$

Hence, we obtain a projective system

$$A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}2} \twoheadleftarrow A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}3} \twoheadleftarrow \cdots \twoheadleftarrow A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell} \twoheadleftarrow \cdots$$

which induces an injective system

$$\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}2} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}3} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell} \hookrightarrow \cdots \tag{2}$$

whose direct limit is the abelian category $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$ of nilpotent representations of $A^{(d)}_\infty$ (note that the quiver of $A^{(d)}_\infty$ has no oriented cycles, hence the nilpotent representations of $A^{(d)}_\infty$ are precisely the finite dimensional representations).

It is readily seen that the injective system (2) is compatible with the distinguished $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategories $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell})$ of $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ (see Theorem 3.2.2) in the sense that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}2} & \hookrightarrow & \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}3} & \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow & \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell} & \hookrightarrow \cdots \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}2}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}3}) & \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}) & \hookrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows denote the canonical inclusions. We denote the direct limit of the bottom row by $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$, which is of course a subcategory of $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$.

We need the following observation for proving Theorem 2.3.4.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ be indecomposable and ℓ the smallest positive integer such that $M \in \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell})$. Then, M is a projective-injective $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$-module.*

Proof. Let ℓ be the smallest positive integer such that $M \in \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell})$. Then, $M \cong M_\alpha$ with $\alpha = \mu_{d+1}\mu_d\cdots\mu_1$ and $\mu_1 - \mu_{d+1} = \ell - 1$. The claim readily follows from Theorem 3.2.2. □

We now give the proof of Theorem 2.3.4(i).

Proof of Theorem 2.3.4(i). Since the quiver with relations of $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell})$ is given by $M^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$, it is easy to see that the quiver with relations of $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ is precisely that of

$M_\infty^{(d)}$. It follows that there is an equivalence of additive categories between $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ and $\mathcal{M}_\infty^{(d)}$.

(a) $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ is a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$:

- $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ is a functorially finite subcategory of $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$: We only show that $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$, covariant finiteness can be shown analogously. Let $X \in \mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$. Then, there exists $\ell \geq 2$ such that $X \in \mathsf{mod}\, A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$. Since $\mathcal{M}(A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)})$ is a contravariantly finite subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$, there exists a right $\mathcal{M}(A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)})$-approximation
$$f\colon M \to X$$
of X in $\mathsf{mod}\, A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$. Moreover, since f is a surjective homomorphism of vector spaces, it readily follows that f is an epimorphism in $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$. We claim that f is a right $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$-approximation of X in $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$. Indeed, let N be an indecomposable object in $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ and m the smallest positive integer such that $N \in \mathcal{N}(A_{\mathbb{Z}m}^{(d)})$. If $m \leq \ell$, then $N \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ as well, hence there is an exact sequence of vector spaces
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Hom}_{A_\infty^{(d)}}(N, M) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_{A_\infty^{(d)}}(N, X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \| & & \| \\ \mathsf{Hom}_{A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}}(N, M) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_{A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}}(N, X) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$
On the other hand, if $m \geq \ell$, then N is a projective $A_{\mathbb{Z}m}^{(d)}$-module by Lemma 3.3.1. Hence, the functor
$$\mathsf{Hom}_{A_{\mathbb{Z}m}^{(d)}}(N, -) = \mathsf{Hom}_{A_\infty^{(d)}}(N, -)|_{\mathsf{mod}\, A_{\mathbb{Z}m}^{(d)}}$$
is right exact. The claim follows.
- $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ is a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$: Let $X \in \mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$ be such that for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ and for all $M \in \mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ there is an equality $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{A_\infty^{(d)}}(X, M) = 0$. Let ℓ be the smallest positive integer such that $X \in \mathsf{mod}\, A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$. We claim that for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ and for all $M \in \mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ there is an equality $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}}(X, M) = 0$. Indeed, let $\eta \in \mathsf{Ext}^i_{A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}}(X, M) = 0$. Since by assumption $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{A_\infty^{(d)}}(X, M) = 0$, there exists a finite zig-zag in $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$ of equivalences from η to the trivial sequence
$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{1} M \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{1} X \longrightarrow 0.$$
Let m be a positive integer such that all the sequences in such zig-zag belong to $\mathsf{mod}\, \mathcal{M}(A_{\mathbb{Z}m}^{(d)})$ (note that $m \geq \ell$). This shows that $\eta = 0$ in $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{A_{\mathbb{Z}m}^{(d)}}(X, M)$. Since the inclusion $\mathsf{mod}\, A_{\mathbb{Z}k}^{(d)} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, A_{\mathbb{Z}(k+1)}^{(d)}$ are $\mathcal{M}(A_{\mathbb{Z}k}^{(d)})$-relative $(d-1)$-homological embeddings (see Lemma 1.4.6), it follows that $\eta = 0$ in $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}}(X, M)$ as well. This shows the claim.
Finally, as $\mathcal{M}(A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)})$ is a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$, it follows that
$$X \in \mathcal{M}(A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}) \subset \mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)}).$$
- $\mathcal{M}(A_\infty^{(d)})$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{nil}\, A_\infty^{(d)}$: Indeed, the claim follows from the fact that a zig-zag of equivalences from a degree

i exact sequence η to a trivial sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ exhibits η as being equivalent to trivial sequence of degree i in $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_{\infty}$ as well. Then, the claim follows immediately from the fact that for each $\ell \geq 2$, we know that $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell})$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$, see Theorem 3.2.2.

(b) $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$ has d-almost split sequences: Note that $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$ is not a dualising $\Bbbk$-variety, hence we cannot use Theorem 1.4.4 directly to deduce that $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$ has d-almost split sequences. Let M be an indecomposable object in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$ and ℓ the smallest positive integer such that $M \in \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell})$ and such that M is not a projective $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$-module. We claim that the d-almost split sequence

$$\eta\colon 0 \longrightarrow \tau_d M \longrightarrow N^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow N^d \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell})$ is a d-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$. Indeed, let $M' \in \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$ be indecomposable and m be the smallest positive integer such that $M' \in \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}m})$. If $m \leq \ell$, then it is clear that every non-retraction $M' \to M$ factors through the morphism f in the exact sequence η. On the other hand, if $m > \ell$, then M' is a projective $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}m}$-module by Lemma 3.3.1. Hence, every morphism $M' \to M$ (in particular, every non-retraction) factors through the epimorphism f. By duality, this shows that η is a d-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$ (recall that $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$ is selfinjective).

(c) Every indecomposable object in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$ lies in an infinite τ_d-orbit: Indeed, the claim follows from the proof of the previous item and Corollary 3.2.3, given that the d-almost split sequences in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\infty})$ are induced by those in $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell})$ for all ℓ. □

3.4. **Proof of Theorem** 2.3.5**.** We only show part (i); part (ii) can be shown analogously. Before giving the proof, we give an alternative construction of $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_{\infty}$.

Let $A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$ be the d-hereditary Nakayama algebra $A^{(d)}_{2n+1}$ viewed as the subalgebra of $A^{(d)}_{\infty}$ supported on the vertices

$$\left\{ \alpha \in L^{(d)}_{\infty} \;\middle|\; \alpha + n\alpha_{\emptyset} \in L^{(d)}_{2n+1} \right\}.$$

For example, $A^{(1)}_{[-n,n]}$ is the path algebra of the quiver

$$-n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow -1 \longrightarrow \emptyset \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n.$$

It is clear that there is an injective system of exact functors

$$\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-1,1]} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-2,2]} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]} \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

whose direct limit is precisely $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_{\infty}$. We claim that for each n the inclusion

$$\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n-1,n+1]}$$

is a homological embedding. Indeed, by [GL91, Prop. 4.9] it is enough to show that for all $i \geq 1$ the condition

$$\mathsf{Ext}^i_{A^{(d)}_{[-n-1,n+1]}}(A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}) = 0$$

holds (note that we view $A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$ as an $A^{(d)}_{[-n-1,n+1]}$-module via the obvious epimorphism $A^{(d)}_{[-n-1,n+1]} \twoheadrightarrow A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$); but such vanishing condition follows immediately

from Theorem 2.1.5(iv) since $A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$ decomposes as a $A^{(d)}_{[-n-1,n+1]}$-module as the direct sum of all the $M_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_{[-n-1,n+1]})$ such that $\alpha = \mu_{d+1}\mu_d \cdots \mu_1$ satisfies $\mu_{d+1} = -n$ and $\mu_1 \leq n$.

Hence, for each $m \geq n$ there is a fully faithful exact functor

$$F_{n,m} \colon \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_{[-m,m]}).$$

It readily follows that $F_{n,m}$ restricts to a fully faithful functor

$$\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-m,m]}).$$

Thus, we obtain an injective system

$$\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-1,1]}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-2,2]}) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}) \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

whose limit is $\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$.

We claim that the embeddings $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$ are homological embeddings as well. Indeed, suppose that η represents a degree i sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n,]}$ which becomes trivial in $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$. Then, there exists a finite zig-zag of equivalences from η to a trivial sequence of degree i such that all the sequences in the zig-zag belong to $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-m,m]}$ for some $m \geq n$. Since the embedding $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-m,m]}$ is homological, it follows that η is trivial in $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$ as well. Similarly, one can show that every exact sequence of degree i in $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$ with end terms in $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$ can be represented by a degree i exact sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$. In particular, note that this argument also shows that

$$\mathsf{gl.dim}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty) = d. \tag{3}$$

We conclude that for each positive integer n there is a fully faithful exact functor

$$F_{n,\infty} \colon \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}) \hookrightarrow \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty)$$

which restricts to the inclusion $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$. It readily follows that $F_{n,\infty}$ restricts to a fully faithful functor

$$\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty).$$

We are ready to give the proof of Theorem 2.3.5(i).

Proof of Theorem 2.3.5(i). We divide the proof in two parts.

- $\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ is a functorially finite subcategory of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$: Indeed, we first observe that the proof [Iya11, Lemma 5.1(a)], which in our setting shows that for a given $X \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty)$ there exist only finitely many integers i such that

  $$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(A^{(d)}_\infty)}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty, X[i]) \neq 0,$$

  remains true in our setting. Moreover, we claim that $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$ is functorially finite in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty)$. The proof of this claim proceeds similar to [Iya11, Lemma 5.1(b)]: Let $X \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty)$. Then, there exists a positive integer n such that $X \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,-n]})$. Since $A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$ has finite global dimension, X is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex I of injective $A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$-modules. It is readily verified that the canonical morphism $Z^0(I) \to I$ is a right $\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}$-approximation of I. Let $N \in \mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$ be

indecomposable and let ℓ the smallest positive integer such that $N \in A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$. Since N is a projective $A^{(d)}_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}$-module, we can compute homomorphisms between N and I using homotopy classes of chain maps. Finally, since $Z^0 \to I^0$ is an injective morphism of vector spaces, it is readily verified that every morphism $N \to I$ factors through $Z^0(I) \to I$.

We now follow part (i) in the proof of [Iya11, Thm. 1.21]. Since $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$ is functorially finite in $\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ is functorially finite in $\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty$, it readily follows that $\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ is functorially finite in $\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty)$. Finally, since there are only finitely many integers i such that

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathsf{D^b}(A^{(d)}_\infty)}(\mathcal{M}(A^{(d)}_\infty)[di], X) \neq 0,$$

it follows that $\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty)$. Covariant finiteness can be shown analogously.

- $\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ is a $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{nil}\, A^{(d)}_\infty)$: Note that, by duality and since $\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ is closed under $[d]$ by definition, it is enough to show that.

$$X \in \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty)^{\perp_d} \Longrightarrow X \in \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty).$$

Indeed, since the functors $F_{m,\infty}$ constructed above are fully faithful, if $X \in \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty)^{\perp_d}$, then we can assume that $X \in \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]})^{\perp_d}$ for some positive integer n (note that X is a bounded complex). Given that $\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]})$ is a d-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{mod}\, A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]})$, it follows that

$$X \in \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_{[-n,n]}) \subset \mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty).$$

The d-rigidity of $\mathcal{U}(A^{(d)}_\infty)$ can be shown by a similar argument. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

4. Obstructions to an alternative definition of higher Nakayama algebras

The reader may ask whether there is a characterisation of higher Nakayama algebras similar to projectives and injectives being uniserial. The main problem in answering this question is that it is not clear what the analogue of a filtration is when there are only higher Ext-groups, but no Ext^1. In this section we discuss a few more problems with such definition and with statements involving the simples in a cluster-tilting subcategory.

4.1. Simple modules and the Ext^d-quiver of the higher Nakayama algebras.

We start by characterising simples only in terms of the cluster tilting subcategory.

Definition 4.1.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category and $S \in \mathcal{A}$ a non-zero object. We say that S is *Schur simple* if every non-zero morphism $X \to S$ is an epimorphism and every non-zero morphism $S \to Y$ is a monomorphism.

It turns out that, with this definition, Schur simples of a cluster tilting subcategory are precisely the simple modules.

Lemma 4.1.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ a d-cluster-tilting subcategory. Then, an object $S \in \mathcal{M}$ is Schur simple if and only if it is a simple object in $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Let $S \in \mathcal{M}$ be simple in $\mathcal{A}$. Then, of course, it is Schur simple. For the other direction we show that a Schur simple object in $\mathcal{M}$ is in fact Schur simple in $\mathcal{A}$, and hence simple. For this, let $S \in \mathcal{M}$ be Schur simple and $X \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary with a morphism $f\colon S \to X$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is covariantly finite, there exists a left $\mathcal{M}$-approximation $g\colon X \to M$ for some $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Since S is Schur simple in $\mathcal{M}$, the composition gf is a monomorphism or zero. Since the left approximation g is a monomorphism by the definition of d-cluster-tilting, it follows that f is a monomorphism or zero. Dually, one shows that every non-zero morphism $X \to S$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is an epimorphism. It follows that S is Schur simple in $\mathcal{A}$ which is equivalent to being simple. □

Definition 4.1.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ a d-cluster-tilting subcategory. The Ext^d*-quiver* of $\mathcal{M}$ is the quiver which has as vertices the isomorphism classes of Schur simples in $\mathcal{M}$ and the number of arrows $[S] \to [S']$ is given by $\mathsf{dim}_{\Bbbk}\, \mathsf{Ext}^d_{\mathcal{A}}(S, S')$.

The Ext^d-quivers of the higher Nakayama algebras are precisely what one would expect.

Proposition 4.1.4. *Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_\emptyset, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1})$ be a Kupisch series. Then, the following statements hold.*

(i) If $\ell_\emptyset = 1$, then the Ext^d-quiver of $A^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is $\mathbb{A}_n$.
(ii) If $\ell_\emptyset \neq 1$, then the Ext^d-quiver of $\widetilde{A}^{(d)}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.5, Proposition 3.1.2, and Corollary 3.2.3. □

We now give an example showing that, even for d-cluster-tilting subcategories of module categories of finite dimensional algebras, the Ext^d-quiver is ill-behaved.

Example 4.1.5. Let A be the preprojective algebra of type $\mathbb{A}_3$ which is also the higher Nakayama algebra $\widetilde{A}^{(2)}_{0,3}$, that is, A is the path algebra of the quiver

$$3 \underset{y_2}{\overset{x_2}{\leftrightarrows}} 2 \underset{y_1}{\overset{x_1}{\leftrightarrows}} 1$$

bound by the relations $y_1x_1 = 0$, $x_2y_2 = 0$ and $x_1y_1 = y_2x_2$. The reader can verify that

$$M = M(\widetilde{A}^{(2)}_{0,3}) = A \oplus 1 \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N = A \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix} \oplus \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 13 \end{smallmatrix}$$

are 2-cluster-tilting A-modules. For the convenience of the reader, the Auslander–Reiten quiver of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ is given below, where indecomposable A-modules are described by their radical filtration and the indecomposable direct summands of M and N are indicated with rectangles and circles respectively.



The Ext^2-quiver of $\mathsf{add}\, M$ has one vertex and one arrow and the Ext^2-quiver of $\mathsf{add}\, N$ is empty since N has no simple summands. Thus, this example shows that in general

- the Ext^d-quiver of $\mathcal{M}$ depends on $\mathcal{M}$ and not only on A, and that
- the Ext^d-quiver of $\mathcal{M}$ may be empty.

In particular, the Ext^d-quiver of $\mathcal{M}$ in general does not determine $\mathcal{M}$ nor A.

4.2. **Global dimension of the higher Nakayama algebras.** A further example shows that even for the higher Nakayama algebras, where the simple modules in many ways behave like simple modules in the classical sense, that is in the sense that they all lie on a unique τ_d-orbit, in some respect they are different. The subsequent example shows that the global dimension of one of the Nakayama algebras is not attained by a projective dimension of a simple as well as the global dimension of $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$ is in general not equal to $d \cdot \mathsf{gl.dim}\, A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$. Moreover, the example also shows that, in general,

$$\mathsf{dim}\, \mathsf{Ext}^2_{A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}}(S_i, S_j) \neq \mathsf{dim}\, \mathsf{Ext}^{2d}_{A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}}(S_{ii\cdots i}, S_{jj\cdots j}).$$

This suggest that there is no straightforward way to generalise relations in $A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$, which are given by the $\mathsf{Ext}^2_{A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}}$ between simples to higher values of d.

Example 4.2.1. Let $\underline{\ell} = (1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 3)$. The following figure shows $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$. The numbers on the vertices show the projective dimensions of the corresponding indecomposable modules.



In particular, the global dimension is 4 and is attained by the simple module S_6. The following figure shows $M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(2)}$. The numbers on the vertices show the projective dimensions divided by $d = 2$ of the corresponding indecomposable modules.



Note that the global dimension of the algebra is 6, but is not attained by a simple module in the cluster tilting subcategory. Also note that

$$\mathsf{gl.dim}\, A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(2)} = 6 \neq 2 \cdot 4 = 2 \cdot \mathsf{gl.dim}\, A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}.$$

Finally, note that

$$0 \neq \mathsf{dim}\, \mathsf{Ext}^2_{A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}}(S_6, S_3) \neq \mathsf{dim}\, \mathsf{Ext}^4_{A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(2)}}(S_{66}, S_{33}) = 0$$

where the rightmost vanishing condition can be shown by noting that the fifth term in a minimal projective resolution of S_{66} does not have a summand S_{33} in its top.

4.3. **Dominant dimension of the higher Nakayama algebras.** Concerning the dominant dimension, we can generalise a corresponding result for Nakayama algebras, namely that for a Nakayama algebra A the dominant dimension of A is always greater or equal than 1, see for example [ARS95, Exercise VI.6] which states that if a finite dimensional algebra A is the direct sum of uniserial modules, then $\mathsf{dom.dim}\, A \geq 1$ if and only if A is a Nakayama algebra. Note that we were not able to find a converse as we do not know what the analogue of a uniserial module should be.

Proposition 4.3.1. *Let A be a d-Nakayama algebra. Then,* $\mathsf{dom.dim}\, A \geq d$.

Proof. By arguing similarly as in the proofs in Section 3, we can reduce the statement to proving the case $A = A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$. The proof is in this case similar to the one that the d-cluster-tilting subcategory is moreover $d\mathbb{Z}$-cluster-tilting, see Corollary 3.1.3. Let $\mu_{d+1} := j_i - \ell_{j_i} + 1$ and let $M_{\mu_{d+1},\mu_d,\ldots,\mu_1}$ be a projective module in $\mathsf{mod}\, A$. We recall that the full subcategory $\mathcal{M}'$ of $\mathcal{M}(A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)})$ of direct sums of modules M_α with $\alpha = \mu_{d+1}\mu_d \cdots \mu_1$ with $\mu_{d+1} \geq j_i - \ell_{j_i} + 1$ and $\mu_1 \leq j_{i+1} - 1$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}(A_m^{(d)})$ for $m = j_{i+1} - j_i - \ell_{j_i} - 1$. The equivalence is given by sending M_α to $M_{\alpha-(\mu_{d+1},\ldots,\mu_{d+1})}$. By Proposition 2.1.7(ii) the injective coresolution of $M_{\alpha-(\mu_{d+1},\ldots,\mu_{d+1})}$ in $\mathsf{mod}\, A_m^{(d)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \to M_{\alpha-(\mu_{d+1},\ldots,\mu_{d+1})} &\to M_{\mu_{d+1}-\mu_{d+1},\ldots,\mu_1-\mu_{d+1},m} \\
&\to M_{\mu_{d+1}-\mu_{d+1},\ldots,\mu_2-\mu_{d+1},\mu_1-\mu_{d+1}+1,m} \\
&\to M_{\mu_{d+1}-\mu_{d+1},\ldots,\mu_3-\mu_{d+1},\mu_1-\mu_{d+1}+1,m} \\
&\to \cdots \to M_{\mu_d-\mu_{d+1}+1,\ldots,\mu_1-\mu_{d+1}+1,m} \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As the categories $\mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}(A_m^{(d)})$ are equivalent, applying the quasi-inverse equivalence yields again an exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \to M_\alpha &\to M_{\mu_{d+1}\ldots\mu_1,j_{i+1}-1} \to M_{\mu_{d+1}\ldots\mu_2,\mu_1+1,j_{i+1}-1} \\
&\to \cdots \to M_{\mu_d+1,\ldots,\mu_1+1,j_{i+1}-1} \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

Apart from the outer terms, all the modules in the sequence are projective as well as injective. Thus, $\mathsf{dom.dim}\, A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)} \geq d$. □

Appendix A. Relative $(d-1)$-homological embeddings

In this short appendix we prove that the embedding $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}] \to \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$ in Lemma 1.4.6 is in fact a $(d-1)$-homological embedding (and not only a relative one). We recall from [Psa14, Def. 3.6] that an exact functor $i_*\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a *m-homological embedding* if for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$ the induced morphism

$$\mathsf{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{B}}(X,Y) \to \mathsf{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{A}}(i_*(X), i_*(Y))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proposition A.1. *Consider the recollement situation of abelian categories*

$$\mathcal{B} \overset{\overset{i^*}{\leftarrow}}{\underset{i^!}{\overset{i_*}{\rightarrow}}} \mathcal{A} \overset{\overset{j_!}{\leftarrow}}{\underset{j_*}{\overset{j^*}{\rightarrow}}} \mathcal{C}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projectives. Then, the following statements hold.

(i) $\mathcal{B}$ has enough projectives.

(ii) Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ the corresponding subcategories of projectives. Let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a subcategory containing $i^*(P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Assume that $i_*\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a contravariantly $\mathcal{N}$-relative m-homological embedding. Then, i_* is an m-homological embedding.

Proof. (i) Let $Y \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projectives, there exists an epimorphism $P \twoheadrightarrow i_*(Y)$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Since i^* is right exact and sends projective objects in $\mathcal{A}$ to projective objects in $\mathcal{B}$, this yields an epimorphism $i^*(P) \twoheadrightarrow i^*i_*(Y) \cong Y$. This shows that $\mathcal{B}$ has enough projectives.

(ii) According to [Psa14, Thm. 3.9, Prop. 3.3], for the morphism $i_*\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ to be an m-homological embedding is equivalent to $\mathsf{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{A}}(F(P), i_*(B)) = 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}, P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}, 0 \leq j \leq m-1$ where $F(P) := \mathsf{Im}(j_!j^*(P) \to P)$ is induced by the counit of the adjunction.

Applying $\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, i_*(B))$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to F(P) \to P \to i_*i^*(P) \to 0$$

yields the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(i_*i^*(P), i_*(B)) &\to \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, i_*(B)) \to \mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(F(P), i_*(B)) \\ \to \mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(i_*i^*(P), i_*(B)) &\longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(F(P), i_*(B)) \to \\ &\dots \\ \to \mathsf{Ext}^m_{\mathcal{A}}(i_*i^*(P), i_*(B)) &\longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

As i_* is fully-faithful and (i_*, i^*) is an adjoint pair, it follows that the first map in this long exact sequence is an isomorphism. Hence, the second map is 0. Thus, $\mathsf{Ext}^{j+1}_{\mathcal{A}}(i_*i^*(P), i_*(B)) \cong \mathsf{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{A}}(F(P), i_*(B))$ for all $j \geq 0$.

Since $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$, it follows that $i^*(P) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}$. As, by assumption, $i^*(P) \in \mathcal{N}$, it follows that $\mathsf{Ext}^{j+1}_{\mathcal{A}}(i_*i^*(P), i_*(B)) \cong \mathsf{Ext}^{j+1}_{\mathcal{B}}(i^*(P), B) = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. We conclude that $\mathsf{Ext}^j_{\mathcal{A}}(F(P), i_*(B)) = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. □

Corollary A.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma* 1.4.6*, the embedding*

$$\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}] \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$$

is a $(d-1)$-homological embedding.

Remark A.0.2. In the setting of Corollary $A.2$, we note that in general the embedding

$$\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{X}] \hookrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$$

is not a d-homological embedding.

References

[AR74] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. Stable equivalence of dualizing R-varieties. *Advances in Mathematics*, 12(3):306–366, March 1974.

[ARS95] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Sverre O. Smalø. *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[AS80] Maurice Auslander and Sverre O. Smalø. Preprojective modules over Artin algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 66(1):61–122, 1980.

[ASS06] Ibrahim Assem, Daniel Simson, and Andrzej Skowroński. *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras. Volume 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*. Number 65 in London Mathematical Society Students Texts. Cambridge University Press, New York City, USA, 2006.

[Aus66] Maurice Auslander. Coherent functors. In *Proceedings of the Conferences on Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, California, 1965)*, pages 189–231. Springer, New York, 1966.

[Aus71] Maurice Auslander. *Representation dimension of Artin algebras*. Lecture Notes. Queen Mary College, London, 1971.

[BBK02] Sheila Brenner, Michael C. R. Butler, and Alastair D. King. Periodic algebras which are almost Koszul. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 5(4):331–368, October 2002.

[Bel15] Apostolos Beligiannis. Relative homology, higher cluster-tilting theory and categorified Auslander-Iyama correspondence. *Journal of Algebra*, 444:367–503, December 2015.

[BG82] Klaus Bongartz and Pierre Gabriel. Covering spaces in representation-theory. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 65(3):331–378, October 1982.

[BK89] Aleksej I. Bondal and Mikhail M. Kapranov. Representable functors, Serre functors, and reconstructions. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 53(6):1183–1205, 1337, 1989.

[DI16] Erik Darpö and Osamu Iyama. Selfinjective n-representation-finite algebras. In preparation, 2016.

[Dug12] Alex Dugas. Periodicity of d-cluster-tilted algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 368:40–52, October 2012.

[EH08] Karin Erdmann and Thorsten Holm. Maximal n-orthogonal modules for selfinjective algebras. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 136(9):3069–3078, 2008.

[ES08] Karin Erdmann and Andrzej Skowroński. Periodic algebras. In *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, EMS Series of Congress Reports, pages 201–251. European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2008.

[Gab72] Peter Gabriel. Unzerlegbare Darstellungen. I. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 6:71–103; correction, ibid. 6 (1972), 309, 1972.

[Gin06] Victor Ginzburg. Calabi-Yau algebras. *arXiv:math/0612139*, December 2006.

[GKO13] Christof Geiß, Bernhard Keller, and Steffen Oppermann. n-angulated categories. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik. [Crelle's Journal]*, 675:101–120, 2013.

[GL91] Werner Geigle and Helmut Lenzing. Perpendicular categories with applications to representations and sheaves. *Journal of Algebra*, 144(2):273–343, 1991.

[Hap88] Dieter Happel. *Triangulated Categories in the Representation Theory of Finite Dimensional Algebras*. Number 119 in London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[HI11a] Martin Herschend and Osamu Iyama. n-representation-finite algebras and twisted fractionally Calabi-Yau algebras. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 43(3):449–466, June 2011.

[HI11b] Martin Herschend and Osamu Iyama. Selfinjective quivers with potential and 2-representation-finite algebras. *Compositio Mathematica*, 147(06):1885–1920, 2011.

[HIO14] Martin Herschend, Osamu Iyama, and Steffen Oppermann. n-representation infinite algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 252:292–342, February 2014.

[IJ15] Osamu Iyama and Gustavo Jasso. Auslander correspondence for dualizing varieties. In preparation, 2015.

[IO11] Osamu Iyama and Steffen Oppermann. n-representation-finite algebras and n-APR tilting. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 363(12):6575–6614, July 2011.

[IO13] Osamu Iyama and Steffen Oppermann. Stable categories of higher preprojective algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 244:23–68, September 2013.

[IY08] Osamu Iyama and Yuji Yoshino. Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 172(1):117–168, April 2008.

[Iya07a] Osamu Iyama. Auslander correspondence. *Advances in Mathematics*, 210(1):51–82, March 2007.

[Iya07b] Osamu Iyama. Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 210(1):22–50, March 2007.

[Iya08] Osamu Iyama. Auslander-Reiten theory revisited. In *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, EMS Series of Congress Reports, pages 349–397. European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2008.

[Iya11] Osamu Iyama. Cluster tilting for higher Auslander algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 226(1):1–61, January 2011.

[Jas15] Gustavo Jasso. τ^2-Stable tilting complexes over weighted projective lines. *Advances in Mathematics*, 273:1–31, March 2015.

[Jas16] Gustavo Jasso. n-abelian and n-exact categories. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, pages 1–57, 2016.

[JK16] Gustavo Jasso and Julian Külshammer. Higher Nakyama algebras II: Periodic algebras which are almost Koszul and Calabi–Yau algebras. In preparation, 2016.

[Jør15] Peter Jørgensen. Torsion classes and t-structures in higher homological algebra. *International Mathematics Research Notices. IMRN*, 2015.

[Kup66] Herbert Kupisch. Über eine Klasse von Ringen mit Minimalbedingung I. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 17(1):20–35, February 1966.

[LM04] Shiping Liu and Jean-Philippe Morin. The strong no loop conjecture for special biserial algebras. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 132(12):3513–3523, 2004.

[Miz14] Yuya Mizuno. A Gabriel-type theorem for cluster tilting. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 108(4):836–868, April 2014.

[OT12] Steffen Oppermann and Hugh Thomas. Higher-dimensional cluster combinatorics and representation theory. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 14(6):1679–1737, 2012.

[Psa14] Chrysostomos Psaroudakis. Homological theory of recollements of abelian categories. *Journal of Algebra*, 398:63–110, January 2014.

[Rie80] Christine Riedtmann. Algebren, Darstellungsköcher, Überlagerungen und zurück. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 55(2):199–224, 1980.

[Rin99] Claus Michael Ringel. The multisegment duality and the preprojective algebras of type *A*. *AMA Algebra Montpellier Announcements*, pages Paper 2, 6 pp. (electronic), 1999.

[RvdB02] Idun Reiten and Michel van den Bergh. Noetherian hereditary abelian categories satisfying Serre duality. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 15(2):295–366, 2002.

[Tac64] Hiroyuki Tachikawa. On dominant dimensions of QF-3 algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 112(2):249–266, 1964.

Index

$A_n^{(d)}$, 19
$A_\infty^{(2)}$, 9
$A_\infty^{(d)}$, 24
D, 4
$L^{(2)}$, 7
$L_n^{(2)}$, 7
$L^{(d)}$, 19
$L_n^{(d)}$, 19
$L_\infty^{(2)}$, 9
$L_\infty^{(d)}$, 24
$L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$, 8
$L_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$, 22
$M_n^{(1)}$, 7
$M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(1)}$, 8
$M_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d-1)}$, 23
$M_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$, 9
$M_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(d-1)}$, 23
$M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$, 7, 9
$M_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d-1)}$, 21, 23
$M_{n,\ell}^{(d-1)}$, 23
P_a, 11
$Q_n^{(2)}$, 7
$Q_n^{(d)}$, 19
$Q_\infty^{(2)}$, 9
$Q_\infty^{(d)}$, 24
$Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(2)}$, 8
$Q_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$, 22
$A_n^{(1)}$, 5
$A_\infty^{(1)}$, 6
$A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$, 6
$A_{\mathbb{Z}\ell}^{(d)}$, 23
$A_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$, 21
$\mathsf{add}\, X$, 4
$\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(1)}$, 6
$\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\ell}^{(1)}$, 5
$\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(1)}$, 6
$\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(2)}$, 10
$\widetilde{A}_{\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$, 23
$\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\ell}^{(d)}$, 23
$\widetilde{A}_{n-1,\infty}^{(d)}$, 25
ℓ, 5
$\mathbb{A}_\infty$, 4
$\mathbb{A}_\infty^\infty$, 4
$\mathbb{A}_n$, 4
$\mathcal{M}^{\perp_d}$, ${}^{\perp_d}\mathcal{M}$, 12
$\mathcal{M}_\infty^{(1)}$, 9
$\mathcal{M}_\infty^{(d-1)}$, 24
$\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)}$, 10
$\mathcal{T}_n^{(d-1)}$, 25
$\mathcal{U}(A)$, 18
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}$, 11
$\mathsf{mod}\, A$, 4
$\mathsf{mod}\, \mathcal{A}$, 11
$\mathsf{proj}\, \mathcal{A}$, 11
τ_d, τ_d^-, 15
$\tau_d(\alpha)$, 20
$\widetilde{A}_{\mathbb{Z}\underline{\ell}}^{(d)}$, 23
$\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_0$, 4
$\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n-1}$: , 4
$\wr$, 20

(Jasso) Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, D-53115 Bonn, GERMANY
E-mail address: gjasso@math.uni-bonn.de
URL: https://gustavo.jasso.info

(Külshammer) Institut für Algebra und Zahlentheorie, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, GERMANY
E-mail address: julian.kuelshammer@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de
URL: http://www.iaz.uni-stuttgart.de/LstAlgZahl/Kuelshammer/